

In The United States District Court
For The Middle District Of Pennsylvania

Alonso Perry-Pew
Plaintiff

No. 1:21-cv-0421

Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson

✓

Sharon Clark - Unit Manager
Heather Haldeman - Major Unit Managers
Teresa - Deputy Of Centralized Services
McMahon - Deputy Of Facility Management
Mark Gorman - Facility Manager
Nick P. Paul - Correctional Supervisor Assistant
Debra Warner - Secretary Office Grievance Appeals
John Wetzel - Executive Secretary Of Corrections
Keri Moore - Grievance Office Assistant To Chief
Amber West - Grievance Officer Assistant To Chief
CCTV Owners, Presidents, CEO, CFO, Shareholders
Tab Bickell - Executive Deputy Secretary
Shirley Smeal - Executive Deputy Secretary
James Capri - Smeal Communications Inc.
Jon Lagan - Smeal Communications Inc.
T.G.W.F. Members Gray, Davis, Cooper
Beck - Business Manager
Global Tel Link Owner
Causey - Baumagardner
2-10-Sgt, 2-0-2T, 2-10-Cpt, BA Unit
Sgt. Wolf - BA Unit
Cpl. Confer - BA Unit
Cpl. Lavin - BA Unit

Blaylock - Accountant 1

Simpson - Maintenance Supervisor

Wade - CFMMI

Coz Cetis - BA Unit

Rivello - Deputy Facility Management

2-10 Shift, 10-6 Shift BA Unit

M. Crawford - Lieutenant

Stover, Hayes - Maintenance Department

2T. Harvey

2T. Vance

2T. Setbridge

Defendant(s)

Amended Defendants Sued In Their
Individual And Official Capacities For
Damages.

Amended Defendants And Amended
Claims With More Definitive
Statements In Response To Motion
To Dismiss With Briefer In Support
Of Amended Defendants And Amended
Claims With More Definitive Statements

PART IV FACTS

82. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] is a "D" Code stability Mental Health Inmate at SCI-Rockview on B-Block S.N.U.

83. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] has mental and physical disabilities, Hypertension Disease, Anxiety Disorder, Panic Disorder, Personality Disorder, Schizoid Disorder, Schizophrenia, Paranoia, Sciatic Nerve Damage, Iliac Disc, Spinal Degeneration of L-51, L-52 Sacro Lumbar Region, Osteopathic Spurring, Osteoarthritis and is issued a Back brace and Scrotal Supporter.

84. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] since June/July 2018 has requested directly to Defendant [Sharon Clark] for a job assignment on BA Unit in cell # 1001, # 1002 or # 2002, # 2003 due to medical disability.

85. Defendant [Sharon Clark] told Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] to see Sgt. Penrod about job and when Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] saw Sgt. Penrod 2-10 about job and the Sgt. Penrod told Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] yeah, Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] could get a unit job on 2-10 shift but first the Defendant [Sharon Clark] had to authorize it which the Defendant [Sharon Clark] based on discrimination Religned and said Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] cannot have the old job of stapling up 2-10 call-out sheets on BA Unit Board.

86. Defendant [Sharon Clark] then based out of discrimination had Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] placed in the back of the block on BA Unit, cell # 1023 despite Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] as a "D" Code, "O" Code, and "H" Code should be under direct supervision in the front of BA Unit officers control booth and video cameras.

87. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] approached officer Confer on 6-2 shift who operates the waiting list for BA Unit jobs 6-2 shift and Officer Confer put Plaintiff on the waiting list and then Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] began working as a BA Unit worker 6-2 shift on 11-19-18.

88. Defendant [Sharon Clark] was forcing Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] to work 7 days a week with no days off work and work

holidays and Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] worked from November 19th, 2018 to December 5th, 2018 with no days off nor holidays off.

89. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] made Defendant [Mark Garman] aware in writing that Defendant [Sharon Clark] was making Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] do forced labor 7 days per week with no 2 days off and working Holidays without being allowed off and that this violated Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy and Form DC-135A 11/26/18 Received 11/27/18 and answered 12-3-18 marked Exhibit A

90. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] on 11-27-18 wrote Defendant [Houser] an official letter about the forced labor and theft of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] pay, explaining Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not being paid for working over 5 days and on Holidays as well as the fact that no days off was being given and by D.O.C 19¢ per 6 hours a day and if working over 5 days a week and holidays the same pay was to be paid. SEE: Official Letter 11-27-18 Marked Exhibit E

91. Defendant [Houser] took no corrective action against Defendant [Sharon Clark] on the forced labor of making Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] work 7 days a week when the DOC Policy calls for 5 days a week and making Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] work Holidays when DOC Policy calls for Holidays off knowing that Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] is by DOC Policy to be paid the 5 working day week. SEE: DC-135A 11/30/18 Exhibit C

92. Defendant [Nikki Paul] was made aware that Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not being paid with Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Mark Garman] and [Houser], Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] is to be paid for all the hours worked by PA DOC Policy 816 but took no corrective action against Defendant [Sharon Clark] to make sure Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] got paid for the work done November 19, 2018 to December 5th, 2018. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-4-18 Received 12-5-18 and answered 12-11-18, Exhibit D

93. Defendant [Nikki Paul] was made aware that Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not being paid for hours worked on 11/19/18 and conspired with Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Mark Garman], and

[Houser] to not take corrective action on Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] not being paid for 11/19/18. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-4-18 Received 12-5-18 and answer 12-10-18 Exhibit E

93. Defendant [Mark German] personally knew that [Alfonso Percy Pew] is to be paid for all the hours worked by PA DOC Policy 816 but took no corrective action against Defendant [Sharon Clark] to make sure Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] got paid for the work done November 19, 2018 to December 5th, 2018. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-4-18 Received 12-5-18 and answered 12-10-18, Exhibit D

94. Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Houser], [Nikki Paul], and [Mark German] engaged in a conspiracy to retaliatory remove Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from job because Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] had exposed inmates on EA Unit was not being paid for working Holidays and beyond the 5 working days as well as being denied 2 days off per week and holidays off which is forced labor so a conspiratorial plot was formed to remove Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from the job he worked from November 19, 2018 to December 5, 2018. SEE Form DC-135A 12-5-18 answered 12-10-18 Exhibit F

95. Defendant [Houser] was aware of the retaliatory conduct removal of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from job worked from November 19, 2018 to December 5, 2018 because of the conspiracy between Defendants [Houser], [Sharon Clark], [Nikki Paul], and [Mark German] and took no corrective action to rectify the adverse actions against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] over the written complaints about forced labor and theft of pay. SEE Form DC-135A 12-5-18 answered 12-7-18 Exhibit G

96. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] contacted the Centre County District Attorney Office and filed a criminal complaint against Defendant [Sharon Clark] that was sent to PA. Department of Corrections OSII Defendants [John E. Wetzel] and [Dorina Varner]. SEE: Letter 12-17-18 Mark S. Smith Esq., First assistant District Attorney Exhibit H

97. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was told by Defendant [Sharon Clark] that the reason for job removal was because of writing to Defendant [Mark German] and Defendant [Sharon Clark] used

Intimidation against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] over grievance # 772344 so the Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] filed grievance # 774889 12/5/18 Received 12/6/18. SEE: Exhibit H
98. Defendants [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] engaged in a conspirator plot to obstruct any investigation.

99. Defendant [Nikki Paul] rejected the grievance # 774889 stating Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was on grievance restriction and accordingly Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] by DC-ADM 804 Policy was suppose to be able to re-submit grievance # 774889 in 15 working days after 12-3-18 which was the date of the last grievance # 774284. SEE: Rejection Form 12-6-18 Exhibit J

100. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] waited 15 working days from 12-3-18 and re-submitted grievance # 774284 on 12-24-18 and Defendants [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] engaged in a conspiracy to cover up Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint so Defendant [Nikki Paul] lied and wrote Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint was untimely. SEE: Form 135A 12-24-18 Received 12-24-18 and answered 12-24-18 Exhibit K

101. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] wrote Defendant [Houser] about the violation of denying Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] access to complaint and Defendant [Houser] took no action on the Violation of policy and law. SEE: Form 135A 12-27-18 answered 1-2-19 Exhibit L

102. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] wrote Defendant [Nikki Paul] about the violation of policy and law in obstructing the processing of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint and the Defendant [Nikki Paul] continued the conspiracy with Defendants [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark]. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-27-18 it is also stamped Received 12-31-18 too Exhibit M

103. Defendant [Mark Garman] participated in the conspiracy to cover up the complaint of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint was outside DC-ADM 804 which is not true and Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] has in grievance files grievances filed that were delayed due to grievance restriction. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-27-18 Received 1-2-19 answered 1-2-19 Exhibit N

104. Defendants [John E. Wetzel] and [Dorina Varner] were written several letters directly about Defendants [Mark Garman], [Sharon Clark], [Houser], and [Nikki Paul] conspiracy of forced labor, not paying inmates on BA Unit for work performed and the conspiracy to obstruct the complaint process and no action was taken on fixing the problem to have Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] grievance # 774889 Reviewed by PA DOC. SEE: Letter 1/11/19

Exhibit D

105. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] again presented the matter of obstructing the complaint process by Defendant [Nikki Paul] and Defendant [Houser] continued the conspiracy and took no corrective action to have grievance # 774889 processed and Reviewed under law title 37 Pa. Code 93.8 SEE: Exhibit P

106. Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Nikki Paul], [Houser], [Mark Garman], [John E. Wetzel], and [Dorina Varner] at all times ignored PA.DOC Policy DC-ADM 816 and defaulted procedurally on grievance # 774889 by obstructing Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from exhausting the grievance through all 3 levels of appeals.

107. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not paid for BA work from 11-19-18 to 1-5-19 for pay for weekends and holidays, Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] worked. SEE: Account Exhibit Q

108. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] operates and maintains a systematic practice, custom, policy, and tradition where D-Code stability inmates on BA Unit at SCI Rockview who work are not paid for holidays and weekends and are forced to work with no days off while other Units at SCI Rockview and across the entire PA DOC prison statewide facilities, inmates are given 2 days off per week and holidays off and when they do work days off or holidays are paid 6 hours at their pay rate in accords with PA DOC Policy DC-ADM 816.

109. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] since June/July 2018 requested to be accommodated for disabilities and moved from back of block BA # 1023 to cell BA # 1001 or # 1002 the Defendant [Mark Garman] conspired with Defendants [Sharon Clark] and [Heather Halderman] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] while

knowingly permitting a list of white inmates on BA Unit to move when they desired. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/15/19 Received 1/16/19 answered 1-16-19 Exhibit R

110. Defendants [Houser], [Sharon Clark], [Heather Balderman], [McMahon], and [Mark German] each conspired to Discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] who is black and has disabilities from moving to the front of Unit instead of being in back of Unit and the motivating factor is Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] Race and Gender. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/15/19 answered 1/16/19 Exhibit S

111. Defendant [McMahon] discriminated against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] disabilities based on Plaintiff Race, and Gender and took no action to move Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from back of BA Unit to BA Unit front. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/15/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit T

112. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] followed protocol by taking a Voluntary Request To Change Cells Partner with Edward Wright which both inmates signed so that cells can be changed, the Sgt. Bowser 6-2 Shift refused to sign it and give it to Defendant [Sharon Clark] so Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] sent the form and request to Defendant [Mark German] who then conspired with Defendants [Sharon Clark] and [Heather Balderman] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] disabilities due to Race and Gender. SEE: Form DC-135A Voluntary Request To Change Cell Partners 1/16/19 Received 1/17/19 answered 1/17/19 Exhibit RA

113. Defendant [Mark McMahon] conspired with Defendant [Sharon Clark] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] based on Race and Gender from being moved to front of BA Unit from back of BA Unit despite white inmates being treated superiorly when requesting to move. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit V

114. Defendant [Sharon Clark] since June/July 2018 has discriminated against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] based on Race and Gender while exalting white inmates who are homosexual to preferential cell moves on BA Unit repeatedly in spite of the fact many moves for white inmates were made after Plaintiff

[Alfonso Percy Pew] had previously requested. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/25/19 Exhibit ~~W~~

115. Defendant [Heather Haldeman] has an extensive history of conspiring with Defendant [Sharon Clark] and covering up for Defendant [Sharon Clark] and in this conspiracy participated with discrimination based on Race and Gender against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew]. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit ~~X~~

116. Defendants [Houser], [Sharon Clark], [Heather Haldeman], and [McMahon] conspired to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] based on Race, and gender Ignoring Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] disabilities. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit ~~Y~~

117. Defendants [Mark Garman], and [McMahon] engaged in a conspiracy with Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Heather Haldeman], and [Houser] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] disabilities based on Race and Gender while supporting white homosexual inmates cell moves during the same time. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/24/19 Exhibit ~~Z~~

118. Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Heather Haldeman], [Houser], [McMahon], and [Mark Garman] maintains on BA Unit a systematic Racist pattern, practice, custom and tradition where as white inmates, homosexuals, pedophiles, and rapist can request favorable moves repeatedly and receive racial dominance over black inmates who are denied the same privileges based upon Race and gender and to support this claim Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] offers the cell moves of [William Vaughn Clarence #NA-9993] Cell #235, [Alan Mitchell Titus # NA-3502] Cell #243, [Christopher Blake # LY-2917] Cell # 150, [David Plow # LA-1545] Cell # 216, [Fred Loury # ME-0101] Cell # 1003 as examples that BA Unit Cell move Records will show is repeated Racist based cell moves since June 2018 to date.

119. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Heather Haldeman], and [Sharon Clark]

create a BA Unit at SCI Rockview that discriminates against inmates with mental and physical disabilities to accommodate:

- (a) Wheelchair ramp accessibility in place of steps
- (b) Phone accessibility on bottom tier without climbing steps
- (c) An accessible water fountain and hot water in back of BA Unit
- (d) Accessible phone in back of BA Unit

When there is currently seven wheelchair inmates and numerous inmates with canes on BA Unit.

120. Defendants [John E. Wetzell], [Dorina Varner], [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Heather Halderman] and [Sharon Clark] are actively discriminating against inmates with mental and physical disabilities on BA Unit SCI Rockview by:

- (e) having steps to phone access.
- (f) having No seating to use phones.
- (g) the cleaning room has step.
- (h) removing urinals out of shower rooms.
- (I) Removing urinals out of cleaning room.
- (J) Removing TV's from back of block of BA Unit.
- (K) Removing phones from back of BA Unit.
- (L) Removing water fountain from back of BA Unit.

Which actions harm disability inmates that live in back of BA block.

121. Defendants [John E. Wetzell], [Dorina Varner], [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Heather Halderman] and [Sharon Clark] maintains a dangerous practice that is "Fire Hazard Congested" and harms inmates daily with disabilities by cramping inmates into small area and blocking back steps access

on BA Unit which is a danger to future safety. SEE: MEMO December 10th, 2018 Exhibit 1

122. Defendant [John E. Wetzel] has a long history, pattern, tradition, custom and practice of ignoring mental health and physical disabilities. SEE: Letter August 3, 2015 Exhibit 2

123. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Nikki Paul], [Heather Halderman], and [Sharon Clark] on BA Unit SCI Rockview operate a S.N.U. where Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] D-Code stability inmate and other mental disability inmates are discriminated against by having to endure increment weather to obtain psychotropic medications and the waiting lines are excessive with no indoor waiting the excessive waiting is in long lines in cold, rain and snow in winter and in other months it can be hot, windy and exhausting on mental health inmates.

124. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] exhausted the inmate grievance system when submitting in part grievance # 783297 on 1/22/19 on ADA Claims SEE: Exhibit 3

125. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] procedurally defaulted when Defendants et al conspired with Defendant [Nikki Paul] who rejected to process the grievance # 783297 on 1/22/19. SEE: Form DC-135A Received and answered 1/30/19 Exhibit 4

126. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] despite the obstruction of the grievance system not having any redress avenue available through Pennsylvania Department of Corrections DC-ADM 804 still in good faith effort attempted to appeal the rejection 1/30/19

127. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Varner], and [Nikki Paul] maintains a sham grievance system that does not afford Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] the rights guaranteed under PA Law Title 37 Pa. Code 93.8 inmate complaints.

128. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [Houser], [McMahon], [Nikki Paul], [Sharon Clark] and [Heather Halderman] maintains a system at SCI Rockview where job placement assignments hiring for block jobs is done by Unit Managers and Officers rather than a control list of hiring by Inmate Employment Office which allows for racism in hiring on block Units for block jobs by racist block staff Unit Managers and Officers.

129. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [Houser], [McMahon], [Nikki Paul], [Sharon Clark], and [Heather Halderman] maintains on BA Unit at SCI Rockview a racist practice where cell agreements by Unit Manager and Officers is selective, bias, prejudice, favoritism based upon race, being white and gender, being homosexual, bi-sexual or transgender.

130. Defendants [John E. Watzel], and [Dorina Varner] lacks a comprehensive policy on cell agreement procedures to De-Escalate Unit Managers and Officers conducting race based moves in favor of white inmates and gender based moves in favor of homosexual, bi-sexual, transgenders monopoly on cell moves at the exclusions of black and heterosexuals.

CAUSES OF ACTIONS

Lines 92 through 130

Racial Discrimination
Gender Discrimination
Disabilities Discrimination
Hazard Fire Congested
Retaliation
Official Oppression
Failure to Protect Health and Safety
Failure to have De-Escalation Cell Agreement Move Policy
Procedures
Employment Discrimination

VIOLATIONS

Lines 82 through 130
Pennsylvania Constitution Article 1 Section 7
Pennsylvania Constitution Article 1 Section 13
Pennsylvania Constitution Article 1 Section 26
U.S. Constitution Amendment 1
U.S. Constitution Amendment 8
U.S. Constitution Amendment 14
Pa. Code Title 37 § 93.8 Inmate Complaints
American Disability Act 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134
TITLE II
Rehabilitation Act 29 U.S.C. § 794
Rehabilitation Act 504
Title VII Federal Civil Rights Act

Employment Discrimination
Prison Policy Code of Ethics
Prison Policy DC-ADM 816
Prison Policy DC-ADM 804

INJURIES

Job Economical Pay Lost
Job Back Pay
Physical injury from inmate collision on BA Unit
Physiological
Anxiety disorder exacerbated
Panic Disorder exacerbated
Anxiety disorder exacerbated
Mental health psychosis exacerbated
Hypertension Disease exacerbated
Insomnia
Weight gain eating disorder
Pain and Suffering from distance walking in back of block
Disabilities aggravated by having to walk to front of Unit for phone, Kiosk, water fountain hot water when none are in back of block due to removal.
Cold and sickness from having to wait in extreme lines in extreme temperatures for psychotropic medication.

RELIEF

Declaratory Declaration of Rights

Injunction Prospective Remedial Order

Damages - Monetary Judgement \$75,000 punitive, \$50,000 compensatory each defendant

Jury By Trial Demanded

Plaintiff Cost of Suit

Plaintiff Cost of Litigation

131. AS EARLY AS JANUARY 2019 DEFENDANT(S) SHARON CLARK, HEATHER HALDEMAN, HAUSER, MC MAHON, NICKI PAUL, MARK GARMAN, JOHN WETZEL, DORINA VARNER, KERI MOORE WERE EACH AWARE THAT THE SPECIAL NEEDS UNIT ON BA UNIT HAVING SMI D-CODE INMATES SUCH AS PLAINTIFF ALONSO PERCY DREW WAS FIRE CONGESTED AND PLACED PLAINTIFF AND OTHERS IN SERIOUS RISK OF PHYSICAL HARM AS DISABILITY INMATE. # 783297 SEE (EXHIBIT #5)

132. PLAINTIFF IN FEBRUARY 2019 GAVE WARNING TO DEFENDANT(S) DORINA VARNER, JOHN WETZEL, SHIRLEY SMEAL, TABB BICKELL OF THE AMERICAN DISABILITIES ACT VIOLATIONS AND THAT PLAINTIFF WOULD SUE IN COURT IF THE MATTER WAS NOT CORRECTED. # 783297 SEE (EXHIBIT #6)

133. DEFENDANT(S) DORINA VARNER, KERI MOORE, JOHN WETZEL, TABB BICKELL, SHIRLEY SMEAL DISPOSE OF KNOWING ABOUT THE FIRE HAZARD ON BA UNIT AND RISK OF HARM TO SMI D-CODE INMATES (IE PLAINTIFF) ON THE SPECIAL NEEDS UNIT DID NOTHING ABOUT THE THREAT AND TOOK NO ACTION TO CORRECT THE DAYSE. # 783297 PLAINTIFF EXHAUSTED 3/19/19 SEE (EXHIBIT #7)

134. AMENDED DEFENDANT AMANDA WEST WITH DEFENDANT(S) DORINA VARNER, JOHN WETZEL, TABB BICKELL, SHIRLEY SMEAL ALL KNEW THAT PLAINTIFF WAS BEING DENIED P.A.C.C. TITLE 37393.9 INMATE COMPLAINTS ADMINISTRATIVE DUE PROCESS AND CONSPIRED TO COVER UP GRIEVANCE # 774889 BY DOCUMENTING A (IE THAT THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE RECEIVED OF A RESUBMITTED GRIEVANCE # 774889 EVER BEING RECEIVED WHILE KNOWING THAT IT WAS RECEIVED BY A DC-135A REQUEST TO STAFF. 3-26-19 FNA (REVIEW ANSWER SEE (EXHIBIT #8))

135. PLAINTIFF A SMI D-CODE INMATE WHILE HOUSED ON BA UNIT SPECIAL NEED UNIT REGULARLY REPORTED TO DEFENDANT(S) NICKI PAUL, AMENDED DEFENDANT TABB BICKELL, SHIRLEY SMEAL AND DEFENDANT(S) JOHN WETZEL, DORINA VARNER AND MARK GARMAN IN MARCH 2019 ABOUT RETALIATION BY STAFF FOR FILING GRIEVANCES AND SPECIFICALLY # 783297 RELATED TO AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT AND NO ACTIONS WERE EVER TAKEN AGAINST THE RETALIATING STAFF NO ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROTECT PLAINTIFF FROM THE RETALIATORY CONDUCT OF STAFF ON BA UNIT SPECIAL NEEDS UNIT SEE (EXHIBIT #9)

136. Plaintiff Case 1:21-cv-00421-MWB-MCC Document 37-1 Filed 06/23/21 Page 16 of 100
Plaintiff made on B.A. Unit Grievance System on April 28, 2019. Defendants Blaylock and John Wetzel aware that Plaintiff was being robbed of High Definition Fiber Optic Digital Cable Signals that Plaintiff was paying for to receive by contract agreement. See (Exhibit #10)

137. Defendants John Wetzel, Mark Geeman, Blaylock also knew and were aware that Plaintiff could not receive regular TV over the 212 stations due to defect antenna that Defendants sale so Plaintiff must buy cable through contract in order to see TV for which cable is to be high definition fiber optic cable digital signals. See (Exhibit #11)

138. Plaintiff on June 6th 2019 exhausted the Grievance System on Defendants CCTV, OWNERS, Presidents, CEO, CFO, Shareholders John Wetzel, MARK Geeman, Blaylock for receiving kickbacks financial fraud, stealing of digital signal and conversion to non-high definition, non-fiber optic, non-broadband cable which is a analog signal. # 792192 Defendants Keel Moore and DeRNA VARNER were personally involved in the deprival of high definition broadband fiber optic digital cable. See (Exhibit #12)

139. As early as April 2019 Plaintiff made John Wetzel, Tabb BICKELL, Shirley Smeal Defendants aware that Plaintiff was challenging the mail policy and state statute that restricts him as a life sentenced to die in PRISON for his natural life from receiving nudity magazines or pornographic magazines as a heterosexual inmate which violated the principal of balance in his religion. See (Exhibit #13)

140. Defendant Nicki Paul in May 2019 ruled that Plaintiff denied of nudity magazines and pornographic magazines as frivolous. See (Exhibit #14) See (Exhibit #15)

141. On June 20th 2019 Plaintiff exhausted his grievance procedures on the deprival of nudity and pornographic magazines which violated Plaintiff religion as a heterosexual who believes in balance because the Defendants Keel Moore, DeRNA VARNER, John Wetzel, Tabb BICKELL, Nicki Paul promote homosexuality and transgenderism. Feminization in the PRISON environment by allowing panties, bra, lip stick to be permitted for non-heterosexuals. See (Exhibit #16)

142. As early as May 2019 Plaintiff made Defendants Mark Geeman, Deputy Hause, Deputy McMechen, Major HEDDEMAN, John Wetzel, Shirley Smeal, Tabb BICKELL, I GWF Members Gray, Davis, Cocco aware that Plaintiff was challenging the denial of UN-RATED MOVIES being shown. See (Exhibit #17)

143. Plaintiff put Defendants Geeman, Hause, McMechen, HEDDEMAN, Wetzel, Smeal, BICKELL, Gray, Davis, Cocco on notice that Plaintiff was challenging DOC Policy 7.8.1. page 1-2. See (Exhibit #18)

144. Plaintiff own financial funds which is I GWF money is spent on movies but the purchase of UN-RATED MOVIES OR VIDEOS is denied. See (Exhibit #19)

145. Plaintiff on June 27th 2019 exhausted administrative remedies with Grievance System. See (Exhibit #20)

146. Defendants John Wetzel, Shirley Smeal, Debra Varnes, Plaintiff
Administrative Due Process PA. Code Title 37 § 93.9 Inmate Complaints
in relation to #800323 Grievance denial of un-rated movies.

147. Plaintiff as early as April 2019 put Defendants John Wetzel, Shirley Smeal, Tabb Bickell, CCTV Owners, Presidents, CEO, CFO, Shareholders and Global TEL Link Tablet Owners and Defendant Beck on notice that Plaintiff was challenging their denial to provide adult entertainment on cable stations or tablet that Plaintiff paid for. See (Exhibit # 21)

148. Plaintiff who is sentenced to die in prison with no parole eligibility, sought to receive access to adult entertainment by cable stations or USB chip to his Tablet. See (Exhibit # 22)

149. Defendants Beck and German were given notice in May 2019 that Plaintiff a Lifer was challenging the denial of access to adult entertainment through cable stations as well as through USB chip on Tablet for one sentenced to die in prison without parole eligibility. See (Exhibit # 23)

150. ON JUNE 27th 2019 Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals challenging the denial of adult entertainment access by cable stations, Tablet USB chips, TV USB chips and Defendant's Kezi Moore, Debra Varnes, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal, John Wetzel caused Plaintiff of filing a grievance simply because Plaintiff took a appeal of the grievance. See (Exhibit # 24)

151. Plaintiff as early as April 2019 put Defendants Gray, Davis, Cooper, Wetzel, Smeal on notice that Plaintiff a Lifer with no parole was challenging the his funds IOWF money only being spent on G, PG, PG13 movies. See (Exhibit # 25)

152. Plaintiff in May 2019 sought to have his money IOWF funds provide movies other than PG, PG13 and G rating movies challenging DCADM Policy 7.8.1 and Defendants Wetzel, Smeal, Bickell, Gray, Mc Nehen, Hause, Haldeman, German to allow other ratings such as B or un-rated. See (Exhibit # 26)

153. ON July 3rd 2019 Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on challenging PAADM Policy 7.8.1 that only allows Plaintiff money IOWF funds to be spent on G, PG, PG13 ratings movies only. See (Exhibit # 27)

154. Defendants Jon Logan and James Logan as early as April 2019 was put on Notice with John Wetzel that the Smeal Communications Inc. mail contract was causing sabotage of inmate mail delivery. See grievance # 95585 (Exhibit # 28)

155. In May 2019 Plaintiff presented evidence another inmate signed Affidavit that Plaintiff mail was delivered to him. See (Exhibit # 29)

156. Defendants James Logan, Jon Logan, John Wetzel Nicki Peul, Meek German were made aware of the problem of electronic mail printing and has done nothing to correct wrong delivery. See (Exhibit # 30)

157. Defendants Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Wetzel, James Logan, Jon Logan are aware that Smart Communications Inc. scanning mail, printing out mail creates a problem with mail that is electronically scanned gets printed and stapled if all gets mixed and stuck together and delivered to wrong cells. Plaintiff exhausted the grievance procedure on this matter July 11th 2019 with nothing being resolved by Defendants. See (Exhibit #31)

158. In June/July 2019 Defendants Haldeman, Clark, Mc Mahon permitted the ordering of laundry line in cell for BB Unit and BC Unit but not for Plaintiff on BA Unit. See (Exhibit #32)

159. In August 2019 Plaintiff and Defendants Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Geeman, Clark on notice that while Plaintiff was being deprived of laundry line in cell for clothes the BB and BC Unit was ordering and providing laundry line for clothes. See (Exhibit #33)

160. Plaintiff cell has two blue screens in wells putting hangers self washed clothes the Defendants Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Geeman, Clark, Keri Mcgee, Debra Vense inmates to use this laundry line and not Plaintiff as Plaintiff on BA Unit this was exhausted in

161. Defendants Baumgaedee, Clark, Haldeman, Mc Mahon Geeman in August 2019 maintained a system that has no Policy for visiting time for processing of Plaintiff forms

162. Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Geeman, John Wetzel Plaintiff took no action in June 2019 to process Plaintiff to set a deadline for authorization of forms processing which would correct the problem. See (Exhibit #35)

163. Plaintiff in June 2019 made Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Baumgaedee, Geeman aware of the problems of long delays Plaintiff experienced in May 2019. See (Exhibit #37) See (Exhibit #38)

164. Defendants Keri Mcgee, Debra Vense, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal took no action to correct the delay that has no time frame for visitors list cell phone list of Plaintiff to be processed which is then used as a way to Retaliate against Plaintiff. See (Exhibit #39)

165. Plaintiff filed a D-Code on Standard Special Needs Unit made a discrimination complaint as early as February 2020 against Defendants Cizek, Heldenman, Hause, 2-10 Shift BA Unit, 2-10 Sgt., 2-10 LT., 2-10 Capt. BA Unit about a discriminatory memo that took Kiosk time from SMT. D-Code on Treatment Unit said when BA-Unit only has 2 Kiosk but other units have at least 6 or more. See (Exhibit #40)

166. Defendants Nicki Paul, Geeman denied Plaintiff due process administrative fairly due in D-Code Title 37 § 93.9 Inmate Complaints. See (Exhibit #41)

167. Defendants Cizek, Heldenman, Hause, 2-10 Shift, 2-10 Sgt. 2-10 LT., 2-10 Capt. BA Unit, Debra Veenie, John Wetzel, Tebb Bickell, Shirley Smeal, Nicki Paul were each aware of the discrimination in the Kiosk being 2 ON BA UNIT and 6 OR MORE ON OTHER UNIT while cutting BA UNIT time for using Kiosk. See (Exhibit #42)

168. Plaintiff exhausted the grievance procedure on 4/7/20 but Defendants Keli Moore, Debra Veenie, John Wetzel, Tebb Bickell, Shirley Smeal have not taken any corrective action to stop discrimination. See (Exhibit #43)

169. As early as March 2020 Plaintiff made Defendants Cizek, Heldenman, McMahon, Hause, Geeman, Sampsel, Wade, Stever, Hayes aware of severe light bulb torture by using 24/7 constant light illumination. See (Exhibit #44)

170. Defendants Wade, Stever, Paul, Geeman, Cizek, Heldenman, McMahon, Hause in April 2020 took NO action to fix intense constant light illumination ON BA UNIT (SMT) SMT D-Code Plaintiff 24/7. See (Exhibit #45)

171. Plaintiff exhausted administrative remedies on May 4th 2020 and Defendants Keli Moore, Debra Veenie, John Wetzel, Tebb Bickell, Shirley Smeal took NO action to fix constant light illumination 24/7 ON BA UNIT SMT D-Code Plaintiff. See (Exhibit #46)

172. Plaintiff during the Covid 19 Pandemic in August 2020 is not allowed to take a shower after attending weight session on Mondays and Wednesdays. See (Exhibit #47)

173. Defendants Cizek, Heldenman, Hause, Sgt. Wolf Co1 Center, Co1 Curtis, Nicki Paul, Geeman, Wetzel (VARNER, Selfridge) in August 2020 all had knowledge and were involved in allowing the practice of NO showering after weight sessions during Covid 19 Pandemic. See (Exhibit #48)

174. Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on 9-17-20 the weight sessions and gym with his passes he was not allowed to take a shower during Covid 19 Pandemic. See (Exhibit #49)(Exhibit #50)(Exhibit #51)

175. Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on 9-17-20 Defendant Clerk, Keeli Moore, Deanna Venner, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal never took ANY ACTION to get Plaintiff showers after using gym and weight sessions during Covid 19 Pandemic. See (Exhibit #52)

176. In August 2020 during Covid 19 Pandemic Plaintiff was deprived of a urinal to urinate in CR commode to defecate in while yard is in BB yard which BA inmates had to use. See (Exhibit #53)

177. Defendants Clerk, Holdeman, Heuser, German, Varner, Rivelio, Paul, Wetzel all were on notice in August 2020 that BB exercise yard had NO toilet, urinal or bathroom in it. See (Exhibit #54)

178. Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on 9-17-2020 and Defendants Keeli Moore, Deanna Venner, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal took no corrective action to build a toilet, build a urinal, build a bathroom inside BB exercise yard. See (Exhibit #55)

179. IN MARCH 2020 during the Covid 19 Pandemic the BA unit SNL. Defendants Clerk, Holdeman, Heuser, Sgt. Wolf, CO1 CENTER, CO1 LEVIN, GRAY, Davis, Cooper, Sampson, Hayles, Stever, Wetzel failed to build a exercise yard for the SNL BA unit. See (Exhibit #56)

180. Plaintiff exhausted grievance appeals on 4-15-2020 and build SNL D code inmates on BA SNL & SNL exercise yard for BA unit inmates. See (Exhibit #57)

More Definitive Statement of Complaint Lines #87 through Lines #108

181. The Plaintiff is not making the claim that he has the right to a PRISON job which is not a federal right statutory right or Constitutional Right. The Plaintiff claim is discrimination and equal protection that he was forced to do more labor hours than others without receiving state funds the General Assembly gives to PA. D.O.C. for such purpose and when Plaintiff complained he was removed from his assignment in retaliation without administrative due process that is in PRISON procedure and also as retaliation Plaintiff grievance redress process was sabotaged by the Defendants.

182. Lines #85 through #108 caused Plaintiff actual lost wages of pay and employment to the present.

183. Lines #109 through #130 caused Plaintiff physical deterioration to medical conditions, pain, suffering by longer walking distance, exacerbation of mental health by discrimination and retaliation with grievance process and prison unit treatment.

184. Lines #131 through #133 caused Plaintiff to be hurt from accidents with other inmates.

185. Lines #134 through #135 caused Plaintiff anxiety attacks, panic attacks and elevated blood pressures.

186. Line #136 through #138 caused loss of services related to wasted money Plaintiff paid actual damages.

187. Lines #139 through #153 caused physical pain, suffering, trauma, mental health illness, emotional and physiological problems stress, depression, anxiety.

188. Lines #154 through #157 caused pain and suffering.

189. Lines #158 through #160 caused actual damages of laundry clothes that became mildew and unclean.

190. Lines #161 through #164 caused Plaintiff trauma and loss of communication with friends and lawyers.

191. Lines #165 through #168 caused loss of communication time available and suffering.

192. Lines #169 through #180 caused pain, suffering, physical deterioration, mental health illness, trauma, exacerbation of health and diseases.

Causes of Actions

193. Defendants in lines #82 through #108 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art 1 Sec. 7, 13, 20, 26, ADA. Act. U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26, 20

194. Defendants in lines #109 through #120 violated ADA. Act. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26, 20

195. Defendants in lines #121 through #134 violated ADA. Act, U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14, PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26.

196. Defendants in lines #135 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 14 PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 20

197. Defendants in lines #136 through #138 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 20, 26, 13,

198. Defendants in lines #139 through #153 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 20, 26, 13

199. Defendants in lines #154 through #157 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 13, 20, 26

200. Defendants in lines #158 through #160 violates U.S. Const. Amend 8 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, U.S. Const. Amend. 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 26.

Case 1:21-cv-00421-MWB-MCT Document 37-1 Filed 06/23/21 Page 22 of 100

201. Defendants in lines #165 through #168 violated U.S. Const.
Amend. 1, 8, 14 and Pa. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 7, 13, 20

202. Defendants in lines #165 through #168 violated U.S. Const.
Amend. 1, 8 and Pa. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26

203. Defendants in lines #169 through #180 violated U.S. Const.
Amend 8 and Pa. Const. Art. 1. Sec. 13 and U.S. Const. Amend 14
and Pa. Const. Art. 1. Sec. 26.

204. Defendants in lines # 181 violated AAA. Act, U.S. Const.
Amend. 8, 14, Pa. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 13, 26, 7, 20

Relief Requested

205. Injunctive Relief

206. Declaratory Judgment

207. Money (Legal Damages) specified on grievances and in
Complaint

208. Plaintiff cost of lawsuit.

209. Plaintiff litigation expenses.

210. Nominal Damages.

211. Treble Damages.

212. Jury Demand on all claims triable by Jury.

Verification

I, Alfonso Peay Pew, Plaintiff hereby swear that the foregoing Complaint is true and correct based on my personal knowledge and experiences under the penalties of perjury. 28 U.S.C. 1746

Proof Of Service

1st Class Mail

1. Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
Middle District of Pennsylvania
U.S. Courthouse 228 Walnut Street
P.O. Box. 983 Harrisburg, PA. 17108
2. Jonathan Blake, Esquire
15th floor Steamboat Square
Harrisburg, PA. 17120

DD

Alfonso Peay Pew

~~Super. Office~~ 123

Form DC-135A	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)	INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
2. Date:	11-26-18	
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)	4. Counselor's Name	State Correctional Institution
Alfonso New (B67263)	BCNDR	RECEIVED
	5. Unit Manager's Name	
	MS. CLARK	
6. Work Assignment	7. Housing Assignment	NOV 27 2018
BAUBlock	BA 1023	at Rockview
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.	Supt's Assistant's Office	
<p>I AM SENDING THIS REQUEST DUE TO 2 PM A BA BLOCK WORKER. ACCORDING TO PA. DOC. REGULATIONS OF JOHN NETTLE I AM SUPPOSED TO WORK 8 HOURS PER WEEK WITH 2 DAYS OFF END HOLIDAYS. THIS REQUEST IS TO YOU IF I CAN NOT BE ISSUED ROCKVIEW'S PAYMENT TO WORK ME 12 HRS PER WEEK WITH NO DAYS OFF AND HOLIDAYS OFF</p>		
9. Response to this section or staff response only		
<p>Please discuss your hours and days of work with your <u>Unit Manager</u></p>		
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>	To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>	

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name GARMAN
Print:

Sign

Signature Date 12-3-18CC: UM Clark
↑ ↑ ↑

Carbon Copy P.R.P Evidence Records File

NOVEMBER 27th 2018

EXHIBIT B

FROM: MR. ALFONSO RECY RW#BT-7263
BA BLOCK CELL 1023

TO: Deputy of Centralized Services
MR. HAUSER ←

Re: PA. (DOC) Policy of Central Office
Dept. of Corrections.

I, ON November 19th 2018 Monday I began work as a BA Unit Block Worker Class 1 Step A \$19. AS OF today I worked 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th & 27th. Thanksgiving was a (DOC) recognized Holiday on the 22nd and the 24th, 25th were Weekends Saturday and Sunday. I WORK 6-2 Shift BA Block. This is my documented request to you Deputy that by PA. (DOC) Policy see DCADM 816 Inmate Compensation Manual SECTION 1 General Procedures C.1 LENGTH OF WORKDAY AND WORKWEEK PAGE 1-3 States: THE STANDARDS WORK WEEK CONSIST OF FIVE DAYS. Deputy also read line # 4. it says that: AN INMATE WHO IS REQUIRED TO WORK DURING A COMMONWEALTH DESIGNATED LEGAL HOLIDAY OR ON A DAY NORMALLY CONSIDERED A DAY OFF IS PAID AT HIS / HER REGULAR RATE. Deputy I HAVE NO problem working 7 days a week with NO days off and working Holidays too but request my \$19 Step A PAY RATE PER POLICY for November Thursday November 22nd 2018, Saturday November 24, 2018, Sunday November 25, 2018 and each Saturday Sunday and Holiday I work from then on.

continued

Deputy Housee this request is to ask that you follow John Wetzel Central Office Policy DCADM 816 inmate compensation manual Section 3 Time Keeping and Calculations of Inmate Payroll A. Time verification sheet] If I'm going to be working 7 days without (2 days) off per week and working Holidays I am entitled to \$19 7 days and holidays or my (2 days off) per week including DOC holidays off. Personally I accept the 7 days with holidays at \$19.


Please direct inmate employment to pay me my \$19 for Thanksgiving and Saturday and Sunday and each weekend and holiday I work from now on.

(Thank You)

Alfonso Penny-Paw

11/27/18

EXHIBIT C

Form DC-135A INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.		
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)	2. Date:	11/30/18
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)	4. Counselor's Name:	
 Inmate Signature		5. Unit Manager's Name:
6. Work Assignment:	7. Housing Assignment:	BA 1023
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.		
9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only) <i>Upon review of your request, you should direct this concern to first your <u>Unit Manager</u> and then to <u>Inmate Employment</u></i>		

STAFF MEMBER NAME

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

STAFF MEMBER NAME M. Houser, DRS Print M. Houser Signature DATE 11/30/18

Carbon County Jail Records
Files

EXHIBIT E

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER <i>183</i>		
INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.		
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)	2. Date:	
→ <i>Nikki Paul</i>	12-4-18	
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)	4. Counselor's Name	State Correctional Institution
<i>Alfonso Lewis BE7263</i>	<i>Bonnie</i>	<i>RECEIVED</i> ←
Alfonso Lewis BE7263	5. Unit Manager's Name	MS. CLARK
Inmate signature	DEC 05 2018	
6. Work Assignment	7. Housing Assignment	at Rockview Supt's Assitant's Office ←
<i>BA Block</i>	<i>BA 1023</i>	
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. <i>You said to write to you to be paid RESOLVE a issue before a grievance. OKAY ON 11-16-18 Col Conter ask me if I still wanted a job. I said yes. He said you 1st day is Monday. I began actual work on Monday 11-19-18. He told UIM Alexander because UIM Clark was not here 11-19-18. Now CCPM Miller answered my request saying I started 11-20-18. I went to Col Conter he said yes I started actual work on 11-19-18 but ms. Alexander had to send the paperwork to CCPM which took 1 day. This request is to ask you to explain to CCPM Miller by ACC policy I'm suppose to be paid when I worked 11-19-18</i>		
9. Response (This section for Staff Response Only)		
<i>You were paid GIP .72 for 11/19/18. According to policy, inmates on GIP are supposed to accept responsibility for work in or around the housing unit</i>		
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name N. Paul Date 12/10/18
Print N. Paul Sign N. Paul

CARBON COUNTY RECORDS
TO: Supt. Office

EXHIBIT F

Form DC-135A

103

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

Alfonso P. B07263

5. Unit Manager's Name

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

BA Block

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

my APPEAL OF Job REMOVAL
by ms. Clark I was called
to her office today 12-5-18
and showed a copy of what
I wrote to her. I was told she
can't hire me and I was told she
is this writing up about my
pay and 250 due to my grievance
complaints that my job was being
suspended without ms. Clark
not have a official status with VOT
she is giving me due process. N
she have authority such as when
I MISCONDUCT REQUEST. This is PRACTICAL
IRRESPONSIBLE to you. I APPEAL TO YOU

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Mr. New,

You were hired as a block worker in error from an email received from UM Alexander while UM Clark was off. You were previously told by UM Clark that you would not be hired as block worker. You were job suspended and assigned GLP when it was found out that you were assigned as a block worker in her absence. By policy no inmate as a right to be assigned or continue in any specific work assignment.

Brian Miller 12/10/18

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name

Print

Sign

Date

EXHIBIT G

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) Ded. Houser		2. Date: 12-5-18
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) APR 1005 B626 all inmate B626		4. Counselor's Name Bonnie
		5. Unit Manager's Name Clark
6. Work Assignment BA Block		7. Housing Assignment IBA 1022
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. my 2 page letter I requested dated 11-21-18, back from you with request dated 11-30-18. You wrote to me to address concern to Unit Manager, then to inmate employment. Well I followed your protocol and today 12-5-18 I was issued complaints by ms. Clark that I received of this I AM SUSPENDED from work without pay. SO now I'm writing to you to address the RETALIATION job penalty and why I got NO DUE PROCESS STAFFING before of this with a		
9. Response: (This section for Staff Response Only)		
You'll receive a response after the grievance investigation. ↘ Request Slips are to be used prior to the grievance.		
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>

Staff Member Name M. Houser Date 12/7/18
 Print M. Houser Sign M. Houser



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MARK S. SMITH
First Assistant District Attorney

SEAN P. MCGRAW
Deputy District Attorney

BERNIE CANTORNA
District Attorney

Courthouse Annex, Room 302
106 East High Street
Bellefonte, PA 16823
Telephone (814) 355-6735
Victim/Witness (814) 548-1107

December 17, 2018

Smart Communications/PADOC
Alfonso Percy Pew #BT7263
SCI-Rockview
PO Box 33028
St Petersburg, FL 33733

Re: Alfonso Percy Pew #BT7263 v Unit Manager Sharon Clark

Dear Mr. Pew:

I am in receipt of your Private Criminal Complaint wherein you allege that Unit Manager Sharon Clark committed Official Oppression by suspending your employment after you reported to DOC officials that inmates were not being paid for employment on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

Since the Centre County District Attorney's Office does not have a County Detective, I have referred this matter to the Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence located within the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections for an investigation. I will notify you when the investigation is complete.

Sincerely,

Mark S. Smith, Esquire
First Assistant District Attorney

MSS/mss

State Correctional Institution

RECEIVED

EXHIBIT I

DC-804
Part 1
DEC 06 2018COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

774889

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

at Rockview.

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR NYKKI PAUL	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 12/5/18
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) ALONSO REW BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Alonso Rew	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: BA 1023	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA 1023	

INSTRUCTIONS: **CONTINUE \$ 19 6 hours today pay from**
 1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. **MUST STATE DATE OF WORK**
 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted. **WHICH WAS 11-19-18.**

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page) **STATE ALL RELIEF THAT YOU ARE SEEKING** **IT AM**

GRIEVING SHARON CLARK FOR Abuse Of POWER, OFFICIAL OPPRESSION and Retaliatory Conduct. I WROTE THE Supt about a job PAY ISSUE. TODAY 12/5/18 ms. CLARK TOLD ME I AM JOB SUSPENDED FOR WRITING Supt. SHE SAID IT'S HER WORD AGAINST MINE WHEN I TELL HER I WOULD REPORT HER FOR LYING TO Supt. SHE TALKED ME IN HER OFFICE OVER FILING GRIEVANCE # 1112344 AND COMPLAINT ON HER TO Supt OVER A MAIL ISSUE WHICH I RESOLVED WITH CAPT. DYLKE. I AM EFFECTED BY THIS. I AM GOING TO BE PROTECTED BY Supt and Security from A RETALIATORY MOVE OFF AND RETALIATORY CELL MOVE. I DO NOT WANT TO BE RETALIATED UNLESS I AM MISSED USE.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

Request Slip to CAPT. DYLKE date 11-19-18 attached # 1

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy CANARY File Copy PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

Inmate Employment Office

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

1

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

→ Inmate Employment

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

11-19-18

→ Inmate Employment

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

Alfonso Lew BGRB

Brock

Alfonso Lew BGRB

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

BA Brock

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

Today 11-19-18 I began
working on BA block 6-2
shift as block worker.
This request is to verify
that this is recorded so
there will be no problems with
my payroll and the date I
began work. Please acknowledge.

No Response in this Section for Staff Response Only

Your start date was 11/20/18

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name B. Miller
Print

Sign

Date 11/30/18

1 Page

A PERSONAL INTERVIEW IS NEEDED TO RESOLVE THIS GRIEVANCE BY THE ADMINISTRATION. PART OF THE RETALIATION BY SHARON CLARK IS TO HAVE ME MOVED OFF THE BA UNIT AND TO HAVE ME PLACED IN THE KITCHEN FOOD SERVICES. I HAVE NOT BROKEN ANY D.O.C. RULE BY WRITING Supt. 3 REQUEST SLIP AND USING THE DC-ADM 804 SYSTEM.

I AM BEING PUNISHED FOR THIS BY JOB SUSPENSION AND THREATS OF THE REST BY SHARON CLARK.

BESIDES FOR SHARON CLARK MENTIONING MY WRITING Supt. 3 AND GRIEVANCE BEING THE REASON FOR JOB SUSPENSION I HAVE RECORDS OF PROOF THAT RIGHT AFTER I WROTE → Supt. GERMAN, Dep. HAUSER, C.C.P.M. MILLER, NIKKI PAUL AND JOHN WETZEL ABOUT JOB PAY ISSUE OF BEING MADE TO WORK 7 DAYS PER WEEK AND HOLIDAYS WITH NO DAYS OFF BUT ONLY BEING PAID 5 DAYS THATS WHEN MS. CLARK CALLED ME TO OFFICE 12-5-18 AND SUSPENDED MY JOB OVER THIS AND GRIEVANCES SHE SAID TO ME.

x Alfonso Penny Paul

12-5-18

GRIEVANCE REJECTION

EXHIBIT J

SCI Rockview

Box A, Bellefonte, PA 16823

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to this office. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System", I have reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance. Upon consideration of the grievance, it is the decision of this office to reject your grievance due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate Name:	Alfonso Pew	Inmate Number:	BT7263
Facility:	SCI Rockview	Unit Location:	BA-1023-01
Grievance #:	774889		

Decision: Rejection

Your grievance is being rejected for the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

1. Grievances related to the following issues shall be handled according to the procedures specified in the policies listed and shall not be reviewed by the Facility Grievance Coordinator:
 - a) DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) – allegations of a sexual nature against a staff member and/or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact
 - b) DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misconduct Procedures
 - c) DC ADM 802 Administrative Custody Procedures
2. The grievance was not submitted within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims are based.
3. Grievance involves matter(s) that occurred at another facility and should be directed by the inmate to the appropriate facility.
4. The grievance was not signed and/or dated with correct commitment name, number, contained UCC references, or was not presented in proper format.
5. Grievance must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner.
6. The grievance exceeded the two page limit. Description needs to be brief.
7. The grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department of Facility action or policy.
8. Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.
9. The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior grievance #
10. Group grievances or grievances filed on behalf of another inmate are prohibited.
11. Grievance disputes previous grievances, appeal decisions or staff members who rendered those decisions.
12. You are currently on grievance restriction. You are limited to one grievance every 15 working days. Last grievance # 774284 was submitted on 12/3/2018
13. You have not provided this Office with required documentation for proper review such as a DC-153A, Personal Property Inventory Sheet, Confiscated Items Receipt, Commissary/Outside Purchase Form and/or documents outlined on the DC ADM 005 Notification of Deductions Memo and/or the Notification of Amended Deductions Memo.
14. The publication appeal did not include a copy of the Notice of Incoming Publication Denial Form. (Attachment 3-B of DC-ADM 803)

Response:

You are currently on grievance restriction.

Signature: → *Alfonso Pew* ↵

Title: Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date: December 6, 2018

cc: Facility Grievance Coordinator

DC-15

File

CARBON COPY WITH GRIEVANCE
Records Pcs

EXHIBIT K

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER			
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) <i>Nicole Paul</i>		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
2. Date: <i>12/24/18</i>		3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) <i>Alfonso Paul (B67263)</i>	
4. Counselor's Name <i>MS. CLARK</i>		5. Unit Manager's Name <i>State Correctional Institution</i>	
6. Work Assignment <i>NONE</i>		7. Housing Assignment <i>BA1023</i>	
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. <i>I was placed on grievance restriction. I have to work 15 hours a day. I have written 2 grievances. The first one was submitted on 12/3/18. I am now eligible today 12/24/18 to resubmit the attached grievance rejection # 774859 from Dec. 6/5/2018.</i>			
9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)			
<i>You are incorrect. This is now untimely.</i>			
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>	

Staff Member Name N Paul
Print

Sign


Date 12/24/18

EXHIBIT L

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		
Dep. Hause ← Dep. Hause ← Alfonso T. (BT7263) Alfonso T. (BT7263) Inmate signature		
INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.		
1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)	2. Date:	12/27/18
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)	4. Counselor's Name	BENSON
	5. Unit Manager's Name	Clark
6. Work Assignment	7. Housing Assignment	BA 1023
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. INMATE, I HAVE RIGHTS UNDER THE DISABIL CTU ACT. I HAVE A RECIRED YOU SIGNED 12/27/18 DC-135A SAYING IT WILL RECEIVE A RESPONSE AFTER THE GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION. ON THAT REQUEST WAS SENT TO YOU 12-5-18 THE SAME DATE I FILED A GRIEVANCE. THE CSAT REFERRED THAT GRIEVANCE # 794889 ON 12-6-18 STATING I WAS ON GRIEVANCE RESTRICTION. BOX 12 SAID MY 1ST GRIEVANCE WAS FILED 12/3/18. I HAD TO WAIT 15 WORKING DAYS. ON 12/14/18 IS WORKING 12 days later I RESUBMITTED # 794889. The CSAT REFUSED TO PROCESS IT AND SENT IT BACK. YOU ARE BEING INFERNED THIS VIOLATES DCADM 504 PROCEDURE AND STATE LAW TITLE 37 PA. CODE 93.8 INMATE COMPLAINTS. YOU ARE BEING INFERNED AND EXPECTED TO FIX 9. Response: (This section for Staff Response Only)		
You raised an issue on a request slip and grievance. At the time the grievance had <u>not</u> been rejected so I deferred to the grievance investigation. If you still need an answer and the grievance was rejected I'll provide a response. Write me back with the issue.		
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/> 		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name



Print

Sign

Date

1/2/19

RECEIVED FILES

State Correctional Institution

EXHIBIT M

RECEIVED ← Form DC-135A DEC 31 2018 INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER at Rockview Supt's Assistant's Office Nikki Paul ←		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1 through 8. By providing this information in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently. RECEIVED ← JAN 2 REC'D 2019
1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)	2. Date:	at Rockview
Nikki Paul ←	12/24/18	Supt's Assistant's Office
3. By: (Print inmate Name and Number)	4. Counselor's Name	Pencke
Alfonso B (BT7263) Alfonso B (BT7263) Inmate signature	5. Unit Manager's Name	Clark
6. Work Assignment	7. Housing Assignment	BA 1023
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. <p>I received my 12/24/18 request slip back with no resubmit. I filed a grievance reject # 774899. You wrote "you are incorrect. This is now untimely. I am sending you this request to do inmate number 31 that you are violating state law Title 38 PA Code § 93.8. Charge Complain by obstructing grievance process DC Admin 904. I previously my rejected Person litigation Petition Act exhaustion. Number 2 for my resubmitted grievance # 774899 on 12/24/18 you did not provide a rejection letter for that date. 12/24/18 I protest that you rejected my response. (This Section for Staff Response Only)</p>		
→ Your request is noted ←		
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name

N Paul

Print

Sign

Date

12/31/18

EXHIBIT N

To DC-14 CAR only

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name

GARMAN Print

Print

Amelia Date 8-1-19
Sign

CARBON COPY ADP.R Evidence Records Files
JANUARY 11th 2019

EXHIBIT O

From: Smart Communications Inc/PADOC
ATTENED PERA, PEG 8T-7263
Slate Rockview PO BOX 33028
St. Petersburg, FL 33733

To: NORINA VARNER ←
Chief Grievance Officer
SOT.GA. 1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Re: Being Denied DC ADM 804 Process ↘

I AM being denied my state statutory PA State Code Title 37 § 93.8 Inmate Complaints procedure. I submitted a grievance to NIKKI Paul on 12-16-18. It was rejected # 774889. It was rejected due to grievance restriction. NIKKI Paul wrote I must file 1 every 15 working days. At that time my last 1 was 12-3-18 it was # 774284. I waited 15 working days then on 12-24-18 I resubmitted # 774889. NIKKI Paul refused to process it. NIKKI Paul also refuses to provide a rejection form for it. I have 3 requests slip sent to Dep. House 11519. I am assured 11519 he writes he does not supervise NIKKI Paul. I have 2 requests slip dated 12/27/18 and answered 12/31/18 NIKKI Paul writes your request is noted. The Supt. Greenan was sent a request slip 12/27/18 he answered 1-2-19 they will not process # 774889 NCR will they give me the rejection form. I want the grievance processed OR a means to appeal to (SOT.GA). I CANNOT appeal it with NO rejection form from 12-24-18. I AM being denied the grievance process because of retaliation. They don't want Central Office to be aware of # 774889 because what they are doing at Rockview violates Policy DC ADM 816 by not compensating for days worked. I request you address why # 774889 was not processed 12-24-18 resubmitted and I request it be processed.

Alfonso Peru Pera

111119

→ Carbon City PA. Workhouse
 → Records Files

EXHIBIT P

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER			
To: (Name and Title of Officer) <u>Ded. Heuser</u>		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) <u>Alfonso Lee (BG7263)</u> <u>Alfonso Lee (BG7263)</u> <small>Inmate signature</small>		2. Date: <u>1/5/19</u>	
6. Work Assignment <u>G2P</u>		4. Counselor's Name <u>Beengazee</u>	
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. <p>You said to write you back with the issue of the grievance being not processed as you want. I wrote a reply. When I submitted my grievance #774889 Dec. 6th 2018 CSA Paul wrote I was on grievance restriction and had to wait 15 working days. My last grievance at that time was #7804294 which was 12-3-18. So I waited 15 working days and on 12-24-18 I resubmitted #774889. CSA Paul did not process it. She says I can't file it now its untimely. She is a new CSA and must not know she can not do this under DC ADM 1804 when I'm on grievance restriction after 15 working days I can submit my grievance I was waiting to file.</p>		5. Unit Manager's Name <u>MS. CLARK</u>	
7. Housing Assignment <u>BA 1023</u>			
9. Response: This Section is for Response Only <p>I do not supervise <u>Ms. Paul</u>. These concerns need addressed to <u>Superintendent Graman</u>. To follow the grievance appeal process.</p>			
<input type="checkbox"/> To DC-14 CAR only		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS	

To DC-14 CAR only

✓

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name
Print

Sign

Date 1/9/19

EXHIBIT Q

Integrated Offender Case Management System
Monthly Account Statement

1/2/2019 9:25:32 AM

From Date: 12/01/2018 To Date: 01/02/2019

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
B-A-1023-01	BT7263	PEW, ALFONSO	Rockview	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
ROC-035665	12/01/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (FRY, WENDELL)	+25.00	41.02
ROC-035665	12/01/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-2.50	38.52
ROC-035688	12/04/2018	44 - Inmate Organization (LIFER'S FUND RAISER)	-12.15	26.37
ROC-035701	12/05/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (PEW, MAGGIE)	+30.00	56.37
ROC-035701	12/05/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-3.00	53.37
ROC-035706	12/05/2018	37 - Postage (First Class Mail)	-4.10	49.27
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+2.16	51.43
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.22	51.21
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+7.92	59.13
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.79	58.34
ROC-035726	12/07/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (LEITNER, HEINZ)	+30.00	88.34
ROC-035726	12/07/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-3.00	85.34
ROC-035738	12/07/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/07/2018)	-62.94	22.40
ROC-035813	12/13/2018	37 - Postage (First Class Mail)	-0.21	22.19
ROC-035833	12/14/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/14/2018)	-20.98	1.21
ROC-035869	12/19/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (MOORE, TOMMIE)	+40.00	41.21
ROC-035869	12/19/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-4.00	37.21
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 3 - 14th -13th)	+0.42	37.63
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.04	37.59
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 3 - 14th -13th)	+6.84	44.43
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.68	43.75
ROC-035899	12/21/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/21/2018)	-17.24	26.51
ROC-035907	12/21/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY CR FOR 12/21/2018)	+1.24	27.75
ROC-035943	12/27/2018	37 - Postage (JOHN WETZEL 12/20/18)	-2.26	25.49
ROC-035948	12/27/2018	34 - Cable	-17.00	8.49
ROC-035956	12/28/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/28/2018)	-5.71	2.78
ROC-035958	12/29/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (MASSEY, RICHARD)	+50.00	52.78
ROC-035958	12/29/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-5.00	47.78
Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of 1/2/2019 9:25:32 AM				
Current Balance		47.78		
Escrow Balance		0.00		
Available Balance		47.78		

EXHIBIT R

To: Supt. GARMAN ←

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

2. Date:

State Correctional Institution

1-15-19 RECEIVED ←

4. Counselor's Name

BAUMGARDNER 16 REC'D 2019

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

at Rockview

→ Superintendent's Office ←

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

ALFONSO REW BG7263

Alfonso Rew BG7263

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

GLP

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I WANT TO OFFICIALLY DOCUMENT THIS ON RECORD WITH YOU AS Supt. SO YOU CANNOT LATER CLAIM THAT YOU DID NOT KNOW OR I DID NOT SEEK TO HAVE YOU RESOLVE THE PROBLEM. I AM A "B" CODE, H CODE AND O CODE INMATE. THE LAST 3 TIMES I WAS CONFINED AT ROCKVIEW ON BA BLOCK UNDER UIM CENTZEL AND UIM ALEXANDER I WAS ALWAYS HOUSED IN FRONT OF UNIT CEL (# 1). SINCE I CAME BACK IN JUNE 2018 I REQUESTED REQUEST TO MOVE TO 1001 OR 2001 OR 1002 OR 2002. DUE TO THE FACTS I HAVE AND SIGHTS SINCE I HAVE A DISABILITY I AM COMPLAINING THAT I NOTICE → BIAS, DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE, (OURSE BEING) (E) SEXUAL, AGE AND DISABILITY. ONLY WHITE AND HOMOSEXUALS AND FEW BLACK INMATES CAN MOVE WHEN EVER THEY WANT TO OTHER CELLS. (MGR) HEDMAN

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only) → NO ONE HELD COME

I do not and will not get involved in cell moves. You can discuss this with your Unit Manager Ms. Clark. There is nothing in policy that grants you a prerogative in cell choice.

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name GARMAN
Print: John GarmanSign: Date 1-16-19

cc: UIM Clark ←

→ MAT. Haldeman ←

EXHIBIT T

➤ To: Deputy Mc Mahon ↵

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

➤ To: Deputy Mc Mahon

1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)

➤ To: Deputy Mc Mahon

3: By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

ALFREDO PNU BT7263
Alfreo Pnu BT7263

Inmate signature

6: Work Assignment

GLP

8: Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. I want to officially document with you on record in writing so you cannot later say you are unaware of the problem. I AM A HPC Code, H Code Offender. I am a inmate. I have a medical disability I wear a back brace and scrotal support. I been at Rockview 3 times on BA block. Each time under UHM Central and UHM Alexander I was in 1001 cell. Since June 2018 when I returned I been extra housing to HPC P-1002 or P-1012 or P-1003. There is a pattern of discrimination based on race and sexual gender. I'm black and heterosexual. I notice systematically mainly white and homosexual inmates can move cells at any time. I'm being discriminated against due to race, gender, age and disability. The Major Haldeman has not been any response. (This section for Staff Response only) The HPC is to blame.

9: Response (This section for Staff Response only) The HPC is to blame.

BT 7263 Pnu,

I have already answered this issue with you in your previously submitted Request to Staff.

To DC-14 CAR only

V

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name
Print

Sign

Gerald Mc Mahon

Date 4/23/19

CARBON COPY AND MAILED TO LEGAL RECORDS

EXHIBIT U

→ To: Supt. Office ← 123

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

→ Supt. Office ←

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

Alfonso Reu (BC7263)

Alfonso Reu (BC7263)

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

GLP

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

ON 11-16-19 at 11:25 AM I WENT TO BA block DESK I handed the BA block SARGENT 6-2 Shift the attached VOLUNTARY REQUEST TO CHANGE CELL PARTNER. THERE IS 2 COPIES ATTACHED TO THIS REQUEST SLIP WITH A CERTAIN PAPER SHEET IN THE MIDDLE OF IT. I FOLDED IT OUT AND WRITE EDWARD WELCH FOLDED IT OUT. I CAME TO DESK AND SIGNATURE EDWARD WELCH. SARGENT TELL ME HE WILL NOT SIGN THE FORM. I TELL HIM HE STATES ON FEM BLOCKS SARGENT MUST SIGN BEFORE UNIT MANAGER. I TELL SARGENT I WILL REPORT THIS TO SUPT. GARMAN. GARMAN BEING DISCREPANT AGAINST I WENT Supt. OFFICE TO Supt. Sargent TO FOLLOW PA DOC RULES AND SIGN


9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only) → 123

The Supt. does not approve cell moves.

You need to address your concern to the unit manager. In addition, you already wrote to me trying to move to BA-1002. You are not subject to any specific cell assignment.

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name GARMAN
Print

Sign

Date 1-17-19cc: um clark
→ mar. holdeman

VOLUNTARY REQUEST TO CHANGE CELL PARTNERS

BUILDING BA 1023DATE 1/16/19

Alfonso Percy Few (BT-7263) 1023 1 AND
 NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

EDWARD Wright (MD 9460) 1002 1
 NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

move cell(s) switch
 REQUEST TO ~~CELL TOGETHER IN CELL~~ 1 1002 1
 LEVEL# CELL# BED#

BRIEFLY STATE WHY YOU WANT THIS CHANGE:

NOTE: THE COMPLETE CELL AND BED LOCATION **MUST**
 BE GIVEN; OTHERWISE, THIS REQUEST FOR CELL
 CHANGE **WILL NOT** BE PROCESSED. IF THIS MOVE IS
 MADE, BOTH INMATES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED
 ANOTHER CELL CHANGE FOR **180 DAYS**.

BOTH INMATES MUST SIGN THIS FORM IN THE PRESENCE OF THE BLOCK SERGEANT.

Alfonso Percy Few BT-7263
 INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

East MD 9460
 INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

BLOCK SERGEANT SIGNATURE

UNIT MANAGER
 APPROVED / DISAPPROVED

EXHIBIT V

Files

7Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)

Dep. McMahon

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

Alfonso Pew (B#263)

Alfonso Pew (B#263)

Inmate signature

2. Date:

1/21/19

4. Counselor's Name

Baugarder

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

GLP

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1022

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

PCO DOC Policy I
 REQUEST A ANSWER WITHIN 5 WORKING
 DAYS. BOTH THE SUPT AND DEP. HELLER
 HAVE BEEN CONTACTED ABOUT THIS. DEP. HELLER
 SAYS YOU SEE DS + MCWEP MAY. TALKED
 WITH MS. CLARK. (1) I AM A ADA INMATE
 UNDER DOC. Policy 606 I HAVE 2 DISABILITY.
 I REQUESTED SINCE JUNE 2016 TO BE MOVED
 TO 2001 CELL. (2) I AM A DOLCE, D CCDE
 DOLCE IT CAN'T BE CHARGED. (3) I AM
 BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BASED ON RACE
 AND GENDER. WHETHER YOU COME THIS
 NOT THE PRACTICE HERE OF MCWEP MAY. TALKED
 WITH MS. CLARK HAS BEEN CONSIDERED BY SPUR.
 THE CELL #2001 IS OPEN. I AM TREATED DIFFERENTLY
 THAN OTHER INMATES WHO REQUEST CELL CHANGES.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

BT 7263 Pew,

Your housing assignment is appropriate for your disability - the move that you desire is not necessary or required for ADA accommodations. The Unit Manager is required to accommodate your or any other inmate needs that are medically diagnosed. Ms. Clark has done just that, who she houses in any other cell is specifically up to her and she does not have to make accommodations otherwise. There is no discrimination or harassment involved. Your previous cell assignments have been all over B unit as well as other Rockland County areas.

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name Shelvin M. Gerald McMahon

Print

Sign

Date

1/23/19

Sum Sean Clark

Mr. Pew,

You are a single cell state and are housed in a single cell. There is nothing in policy that states you are "entitled" to a cell of your choice.

To DC-14 CAR only

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name

UM Clark, UM Clear Date 1/25/2019

Print

Sign

→ Heather HEDDAN attached Cell Membrane

7Form DC-135A EXHIBIT X		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		
<p>Heather J. German</p> <p>1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) Master Unit Manager</p> <p>2. Date: 1/21/19</p> <p>3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) Alfonso Ray (BT7263)</p> <p>4. Counselor's Name Baungarder</p> <p>5. Unit Manager's Name MS. CLARK</p> <p>6. Work Assignment GLP</p> <p>7. Housing Assignment BA 1023</p> <p>8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.</p> <p>I REQUEST PER ABC POLICY 2 ASSURE IN 5 WORKING DAYS. I HAVE WRITTEN CAPT. GERMAN, DES. IT TUTOR, DES. MC NEILAN AND I LET THEM KNOW I AM KEEPING RECORDS. SINCE JUNE 2016 I SIGHT TO HAVE UTM SHEED CLARK MOVE ME UP FRONT. (1) I AM A ADA. INMATE UNDER AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT AND DC ADMCCB POLICY. (2) I AM A O CODE INMATE AND A "D" CODE INMATE AND 2 H CODE INMATE. I belong TO UNIT 1023. I PREVIOUSLY REQUESTED TO GET TO CELL #20551 IS OPEN NOW. I WROTE YOU REQUEST WHICH HAVE IN FILE. YOU FIRST SAID YOU NEVER GOT REQUESTED MINE. THEN YOU WROTE UTM CLARK PUNS CELL MICES. BUT YOU HAVE NO DISCRIMINATE UNIT CELL MICES. I NOTICE DISCRIMINATE AGAINST ME BECAUSE RACE AND GENDER. I'M BLACK AND HETEROSEXUAL. ALL WHITE HOMOSEXUALS AND BLACK TRANSGENDERERS CAN MOVE UP FRONT.</p> <p>9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only): NOTICE OF ALLEGATION</p> <p><i>→ Duty noted + denied You need to work with UTM CLARK</i></p>		
<p>To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/> <i>1/1</i></p> <p><i>↓</i></p>		<p>To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/></p>

Staff Member Name
Print

Sign

Date _____

1-22-19

VOLUNTARY REQUEST TO CHANGE CELL PARTNERS

BUILDING BA 1023

DATE 1/21/19

Alfredo Pau BT7263 BA 1023 1 AND
NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

Empty Cell
NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#
"Change" Cell
REQUEST TO ~~CELL~~ TOGETHER IN CELL 2 2001 1
LEVEL# CELL# BED#

BRIEFLY STATE WHY YOU WANT THIS CHANGE:

NOTE: THE COMPLETE CELL AND BED LOCATION MUST BE GIVEN; OTHERWISE, THIS REQUEST FOR CELL CHANGE WILL NOT BE PROCESSED. IF THIS MOVE IS MADE, BOTH INMATES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED ANOTHER CELL CHANGE FOR 180 DAYS.

BOTH INMATES MUST SIGN THIS FORM IN THE PRESENCE OF THE BLOCK SERGEANT.

Alfredo Pau (BT7263)
INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

BLOCK SERGEANT SIGNATURE

UNIT MANAGER
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED

CARBON COUNTY WIRELESS RECORDS

FCCS

EXHIBIT Y

7Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

2. Date:

1/21/19

1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)

Dep. Houser

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

Dep. Houser

Alphonse Prew (BT7263)
Alphonse Prew (BT7263)

Inmate signature

4. Counselor's Name

Baumgaedke

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

GLP

7. Housing Assignment

BA1008

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

Per DOC. Policy

I REQUEST A ANSWER IN 5 WORKING
days

You stated you are not over
MICH (HES/HS) to DeMoss and
Sgt. Clark as a SUPERVISOR DSOS.
First this is to document I have a
A disabled in cell 148 AC ADN
006. I AM a O code, I code ac
in cell. I began my tenet at block
25K cell since JUNE 2018 to be in
2001. The cell is open now. Second
whether you can see it is not there is
discrimination based on race and gender
and you are permitting MICH and DeMoss
to promote discrimination against me.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

- 1.) As previously informed --- I do not assigned specific cell or bed assignments on the housing units.
- 2.) As previously informed --- if you have concerns pertaining to M. Clark and Major Haldeman, the proper chain of command is Deputy Marshall and then Superintendent Graman.

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name
Print

Sign

Date 1/23/19

Files

RECEIVED

7Form DC-135A

JAN 23 REC'D 2019 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER at Rockview

→ Superintendent's Office

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

→ Supt. GARMAN

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

→ Supt. GARMAN

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

Alfonso Peu (BC7263)Alfonso Peu (BC7263)

Inmate signature

2. Date:

1/21/19

4. Counselor's Name

Baumgarder

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

GLP

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I REQUEST A ANSWER IN 5 WORKINGDays.
Whether you choose to ignore the issue and not intervene with your subordinates Major HALDENEN and Wm SHARON CLARK. I AM INVOLVED WITH THE PATTERN OF DISRESPECT FROM PERSONNEL ON REC 2001. I AM A MEMBER OF THE GUARDIAN OF THE PEACE. SINCE JUNE 2018 I HAVE ASKED TO BE MOVED UP FROM 2001 CELL. I AM A O Code, B Code and H Code. The cell is open but I AM BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST about moving out. I have a medical disability and need to be up

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

BT7263 Rew,I am responding to Superintendent Garmen's request slips in his absence. I responded to this same request yesterday and my answer remains the same.To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name G-D McMillan, Jhoni
Print

Sign

Date 1/23/19



EXHIBIT 1

DATE: December 10, 2018

TO: BA Unit Inmates and Staff 

FROM: S. Clark, BA Unit Manager 

RE: Stair Access by BA Unit Inmates 

Effective Monday, January 7, 2019, all inmates residing in BA Housing Unit will only be permitted to use the stairs at the entrance of BA Unit (by the Officer's Desk) and the stairs by the showers.

*The back stairs will only be utilized by inmates in the event of an emergency.

This procedure is being implemented to bring this housing unit in compliance with the other housing units at SCI-Rockview.

Any inmate using the back stairs of this housing unit on or after Monday, January 7, 2019 will be subject to a misconduct being issued.



EXHIBIT 2

August 3, 2015

Alfonso Pew, BT7263
SCI-Camp Hill

Dear Mr. Pew:

  This is in response to your recent letter sent to the Department of Justice regarding an ADA complaint against the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. The Department of Justice forwarded your concern to the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections.


The most recent ADA request the Bureau of Health Care Services has on record is from January 18, 2012. You are advised to submit any ADA request you have through the medical department at SCI-Camp Hill so that it may be appropriately addressed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that appears to read "Paul Noel, M.D." followed by a stylized signature.

Paul Noel, M.D.

Acting Director

Bureau of Health Care Services

PN/MDH/kvk

cc: Superintendent Harry
Deputy Superintendent Zwierzyna
CHCA Shoop
File (Pew Alfonso BT7263 mdh 8-3-15)

DC-804
Part 1

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

FOR OFFICIAL USE

783897

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR <i>Nikki Bell</i>	FACILITY: <i>Rockview</i>	DATE: <i>11/27/19</i>
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) <i>Alonso, Jr. BT-7263</i>	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: <i>Alonso, Jr. BT-7263</i>	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>GL</i>	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: <i>BA-1583</i>	

INSTRUCTIONS (1) ~~Do not be repetitive or inconsistent with the language of Transfer~~
1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system OR ~~Unit Change~~
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. (2) ~~make changes~~
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of
staff members you have contacted. ~~Request is on a single page.~~

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used. maximum two

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

CONTINUED UTM SHREWD CHECK
CONTINUED MAJOR RECREATION
REQUESTS (O DEPOT) (URGENT), DEP. Mc MACHY AND
Supt. CO. 1000, 1884 MOVE TO FARM (U) FBI (CII) 2001
2001-08-10 10:45:11

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

114

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 1 – Grievances & Initial Review

Issued: 1/26/2016
Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 1-A

EXHIBIT 4

Arboretum City, Pa. Offender Records File
Nikki Paul CSA

7Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS: State Correctional Institution

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)
Nikki Paul

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

RECEIVED JAN 28 2019

2. Date:

1/26/19 at Rockview

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)
Nikki Paul4. Counselor's Name Superintendent's OfficeAllie George (BG7263)
Allie George (BG7263)

Bauingarder

5. Unit Manager's Name
MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

GLP

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.
OVER THE WEEKEND
Martin Luther King Jr. Holiday 1-29-19
1-29-19 I put a grievance in the
grievance box right in front of the camera
area BA OFFICE was there on his
post and I have witness and my
goldenrod CC BY date 1-22-19

I have not received my pink copy
and get extra punishment
I request a answer in 5 working
days.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

The last grievance received from you is 783290

→ 783291 which was received 1/22/19 and rejected.

→ You appealed this rejection 1/30/19.

To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS Staff Member Name See Paul
Print

Sign

Date 1/30/19

SCI

**INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE**

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
BT-7263	Alfonso Pau Rockview	1b29/9783297	1-28-19	


I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 1-28-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I appeal the grievance rejection. #7 Grievance does not indicate the level were possibly affected by a Department or facility action or policy. "my grievance says "I AM affected with a disability ad SVA BA is fine congested due to inmates on BA unit are prohibited from using back steps meaning over 100 inmates are crowded on top tier in narrow spaces racing to front steps and middle steps with elderly, sick and cane inmates this flood causes inmates including myself to stumble and fall into other inmates with canes ad wheelchairs on BA it is a hazard." #8 Grievance based upon different events must be presented separately. The DC-ADM 804 Policy allows issues that are related to be joined in one grievance such as this AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT 42 USC 5012131-12134 PART II under DC ADM 006 Inmate Disabilities

INMATE SIGNATURE:



INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	Alfonso Pen Rockwell	Rockview	2/6/19	783297

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 2/6/19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

GRIEVANCE with 1 page attachment #1
 GRIEVANCE ANSWER #2 which is a
 GRIEVANCE REJECTION FORM
 My Appeal of GRIEVANCE REJECTION
 to Supt. Office #3
 Supt. ANSWER to Appeal #4

ISSUE

I AM appealing Supt. ANSWER #4
 I highlighted and underlined "BA
 block needs to be INCOMPLIANCE with
 ADA laws" see 1 page attachment
 that cover the entire ADA ISSUE.
 ALSO my grievance says Title II
 ADA 42 U.S.C. 12131-12134 that
 covers #87 the particular ADA Policy
 is DC-ADM 006 see my appeal
 to Supt. #3 the last 3 pages. The
 ADA is one issue every thing in
 grievance is ADA violations
 off Supt. A. doesn't correct
 will sue.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Pen

BA

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System," this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate Name	Alfonso Pew	Inmate Number	BT7263
Signed at	Rockview	Current SCI	Rockview
Grievance #	783297		
Decision	Dismiss		
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> 1) Your grievance was properly rejected at the facility level for the reason(s) outlined below.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.</p>		
Rationale	<p>1. Grievances related to the following issues shall be handled according to procedures specified in the policies listed and shall not be reviewed by the Facility Grievance Coordinator.</p> <p>a) DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) – allegations of a sexual nature against a staff member and/or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact</p> <p>b) DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misconduct Procedures</p> <p>c) DC ADM 802 Administrative Custody Procedures</p> <p>X 2. The grievance or appeal was not submitted within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims are based.</p> <p>3. Grievance involves matter(s) that occurred at another facility and should be directed by the inmate to the appropriate facility.</p> <p>4. The grievance was not signed and/or dated with correct commitment name, number, contained UCC references, or was not presented in proper format.</p> <p>5. Grievance or appeal must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner.</p> <p>6. The grievance or appeal exceeded the two page limit. Description needs to be brief.</p> <p>7. Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy.</p> <p>8. Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.</p> <p>9. The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior grievance #</p> <p>10. Group grievances or grievances filed on behalf of another inmate are prohibited.</p> <p>11. Grievance disputes previous grievances, appeal decisions or staff members who rendered those decisions.</p> <p>12. You are currently on grievance restriction. You are limited to one grievance every 15 working days. Last grievance # was submitted on</p> <p>13. You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.</p> <p>X 14. An appeal to final review is not permitted when you fail to comply with submission procedures.</p>		

Response

This decision of this office is that you do indicate that you are personally affected. Additionally, your grievance, as a whole, is about alleged ADA violations and not separate issues. However, you fail to indicate the dates of any occurrences; therefore, an incident date cannot be determined. Once your initial grievance was rejected, instead of resubmitting it with the necessary information, you chose to appeal directly to the Facility Manager. For those reasons, your grievance is dismissed.

Signature: →	Dorina Vamer
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date: →	3/19/2019

DLV/HIS

cc: DC-15/Superintendent Garman

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-G

2019

Exhibit #8

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA
B3

This serves to acknowledge receipt of information associated with your intent to appeal a grievance (identified below, if available) to final review, to communicate your concern(s) to the Secretary's Office of Grievances and Appeals, and/or to check the status of review related to your matter.

Inmate Name:	Alfonso Pew	Inmate Number:	BT7263
SCI Filed at:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Rockview
Grievance # (if available): 774889			
<p>a) You have already received final disposition/review on this issue through this Office.</p> <p>b) This Office has no prior record of receipt of an appeal from you regarding this issue.</p> <p>c) You have already filed a grievance to seek review and resolution of this matter.</p> <p>d) You are encouraged to work through institutional channels to resolve your complaint initially. If unable to resolve your complaint informally, be advised that the DC-ADM 804 provides a mechanism for all inmates to seek formal resolution for concerns.</p> <p>e) You failed to provide the official grievance number for identification purposes.</p> <p>f) Your claim to have grieved and/or appealed this concern at the institutional level without response does not entitle you to direct appeal to final review. Rather, contact the Grievance Coordinator or Facility Manager's office regarding the status of your appeal.</p> <p>g) You have not yet appealed this issue to the Facility Manager. Final review will not be granted until you do so. Upon receiving a response from the Facility Manager at the respective facility, you may once again submit a timely written appeal to this Office for final review. Be sure that your appeal to this office includes all the necessary documents as outlined in DC ADM 804. If not all documents are received with your appeal, it may be dismissed. This response does not grant you a right to an appeal if it would otherwise have been untimely to pursue that appeal to the Superintendent.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> h) Your grievance and/or correspondence is being filed without further action for the reason(s) specified in the Comments/Action Taken section below.</p> <p>i) The following action has been taken in response to the inquiry, request, or concern communicated in your letter.</p>			

Comments/Action Taken:

Your correspondence addressed to Secretary Wetzel regarding the above referenced grievance was referred to this office for review. You indicate that the grievance was rejected due to you being on grievance restriction and you claim resubmitted it after 15 working days but the grievance coordinator refused to process it. Records reflect that there is no record that the resubmission was received.

Signature: →	Amanda West	Title: →	Grievance Review Officer
Date: →	03.26.2019	AMW	

cc: DC-15/Supt. Garman
 2019-C59-014
 Grievance Office (CR115)



123

BA

AB

TO Alfonso Pew, #BT7263
SCI Rockview

FROM Crystal Loy
Staff Assistant

DATE March 12, 2019

RE Correspondence

This is in response to your correspondence addressed to EDS Bickell regarding → grievance #783297 and allegations of retaliation. Your correspondence has been referred to me for review and response.

Mr. Pew, please be advised, this office is not part of the DC ADM 804 Inmate Grievance process and does not intervene in decisions made through that process. The aforementioned policy allows for due process through two levels of appeals and will not be addressed in this response.

→ You are alleging that SCI Rockview staff are retaliating against you due to your utilization of the DC ADM 804 Inmate Grievance process. The grievance policy specifically states, "No inmate shall be punished, retaliated against, or otherwise harmed for use of the grievance system".

I trust that the above information addresses your concerns.

/cl

cc: Superintendent Garman ←
dachristia #2019-C43-000000085
File

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT7263	Allen, R	BA10271	4-8-19	192192

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 4-8-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

→ ① I want the name of Accountant 1 that caused
CCTV to be cut and read the Signature

→ ② Complaints Cable TV Line, and PA. DDC. are
not working. I have tried
all channels and nothing is working. I made
out only the Non-Explosive Digital TV from
Commissioner's Office to get Digital Channel
by the Digital Antenna to get Digital
Channel because at Rockville the Non-
TV with Cable Service don't get Digital
TV. I have seen cable companies
and without Cable Service the cheap quality
Commissioner Digital Antenna cannot pick up
ANY local stations this is a orchestrated
Conspiracy between CCTV and PA DOC.

→ ③ The Commissioner Digital Antenna does not provide
Free Over Air Digital Stations.

→ ④ The Digital TV does not work
receives Digital TV Signal because is no
Digital HD Channels.

→ ⑤ PA DOC no CCTV one Digital Service

INMATE SIGNATURE: Allen, R

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
BT-1263	Alfonso Perez	Kickview	4/30/19	792192

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 4/12/19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance, 1 Page Attachment, Exhibit 1
 Exhibit 2, Grievance Answer #3, Appeal to SOT #4
 Superintendent's ~~5~~ (Attached) Appeal Issues:

1. John C. Wetzel, Mark German, CCTV Owner, President
 CEO, CFO, Shareholder, PA.DOC. are wrong in SOT Appeal
 Answer #6 I am a Cable Subscrber and have been a Cable
 Subscrber for months. SOT Kickview Terminal Accounting
 Business Office can verify this fact to SOT but I should be
 Upheld Inmate on this point. 2. Accountant I think admin
 the institution does not control how the signals are transmitted to the
 facility See #3 for this Appeal I am attaching #6 page 62 of
 CCTV Contract there is to be a 3.11 Digital Satellite Receiver
 3.03 Antenna Mount (D) Satellite receiver must ~~be attached~~
 3.04 Antenna Interface ~~attached~~ #8 and digital transmission
 system #9 which the PA.DOC. and CCTV VTR #26 is private
 Satellite digital cable high definition. See Exhibit 1
 3. The current Digital Flat Antenna #9383 I like all the others do
 not pick up local digital stations which forces to buy Cable.
 4. The PA.DOC needs to install the fiber optic HD digital reception
 Cable. 5. The PA.DOC needs to put up an HDTV Flat Roof
 Antennas for local digital stations or at least which allow out
 side purchases of digital electronic plug in antennas from
 approved outside vendor.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Perez



Final Appeal Decision

06/06/2019 04:12

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BK
127

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SCI Address:	SCI Rockview	Commissary:	Rockview
Grievance #:	792192		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

Please be advised that the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals has upheld the following response. Upheld means that the Grievance Officer has fully met your request. This response will include a detailed explanation of the conclusion why your grievance was upheld and the institutional facts that support the decision and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that the DOC is receiving kickbacks and committing financial fraud. You allege that the DOC is not providing digital television stations or high definition HD through fiber optic wiring cable. You say that SCI Rockview still transmits analog stations locally. You state that you were forced to buy a Eden digital television; however, there are no digital HD fiber optic cable lines to provide clear HD digital cable. You say that you are forced to buy cable service at SCI Rockview because local stations cannot be picked up with the cheap brand of digital antenna that is sold in the commissary. You request to be allowed outside purchase forms to be used for electronic digital plugin antennas to get local stations. You request that an outside antenna is mounted to the rooftops at SCI Rockview so that you can receive local stations. You request that SCI Rockview installs fiber optic cables for high definition stations.

A review of the record found that it is your choice whether or not to purchase a television, and whether or not to subscribe to the provided cable service. No one is forcing you to do either as you claim. The Grievance Officer provided you with a detailed response to your grievance. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	→ Keri Morris, fm
Name:	Officer-in-Charge
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	06/06/19
CC:	DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

1 Page 66 of 100

DC-804
Part 1

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	FACILITY:	DATE:
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	Signature of Inmate:	
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:	
INSTRUCTIONS:		
<p>1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.</p> <p>2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.</p> <p>3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.</p>		
<p>A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.</p> <p>REASON: John S. Weller. The block I live in Shirley Annex Project Policy Section 803 and Title 37 Rule 932(b)(2) on shelter and inmate care and grievance restrictions - absence or expense of services related to my block or services by small or medium size. I have been denied my right to privacy and service are denied.</p> <p>WHAT Shirey Annex Department of Corrections is doing to balance all the good incidents of planning and meetings in person with death row members and visitors. WHAT policies prevent and encourage us to do the following. Please a copy of the following documents. Page 13, 26. I am not able to do this.</p>		
<p>B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.</p> <p>I have been organizing but if I order them Small Conveniences Inc. and PHAD will consider and reject them.</p>		

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date _____

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy CANARY File Copy PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT7253	Alfonso Paul	BA-1021	5-14-19	799317

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

First I'm appealing the denial of my challenge to DC-ADM 803 and Title 8-37. P.R. Code 95.2(6)(2) can legally allow to file a grievance under Title 8-37 & 8. Inmate Complaints which allows me to challenge R.O.C. Policy 803.

Second I appeal NIKKI Paul's behavior and grievance is failing. See box B of grievance of States "I might be in a negative bill if I order them Small Promotional Pic and PA-100 will complete and cancel them. This is true and factual under DC-ADM 804. He is with them. NIKKI Paul is punishing me for filing a grievance by putting me in a negative bill. I will file a grievance with DC-ADM 804. Glossary of Terms - includes grievance. A grievance is a written statement that the allegations of relief sought factually. It is a law, fact or policy. My grievance is based on facts of DC-ADM 803. No funds pending. NIKKI Paul has been a detective for 10 years should be removed.

INMATE SIGNATURE: *Alfonso Paul*

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT 9263	Alvin P. Rockwell	Rockview	6/14/19	599217

I received my appeal from the Superintendent _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Initial Grievance #1.
Evidence Appeal #2.
Appeal to Super. #3.
Super. Appeal #4.

Initial Grievance

① The Super. Comm. Facility Mailbox Appeal Because Soc. #4 says "When You Complain Challenge What Is In The Policy, Don't Be Content With Standard (the Standard) as I cannot challenge a policy with DC ADM 804. Because of That says - Standard Grievance. A grievance is basically when it is found that there is a violation of a standard policy. A law, statute or rule. The Super. Comm. can only be allowed of challenging any grievance function must be revised. ② The Super. Mailbox Appeal has not be revised because it does not specifically state few the grievance of function. ③ The Super. Comm. has found no DC ADM 804. In the Mailbox Policy S 1593. ④ Super. Comm. Appeal basically challenge to DC ADM 802. If it was I can't buy a newspaper magazine because it will be confiscated and the attorney fees will be awarded. ⑤ They were not allowed I can challenge Policy DC ADM 803 on what it previous me from obtaining by mail because my package will be suspended.

INMATE SIGNATURE:



→ Final Appeal Decision ←

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

06/20/2019 10:50

BA 127

1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Staffed:	Rockview	Officer(s):	
Grievance #:	799317		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

← This decision is final. If you are dissatisfied with this decision, you may file an appeal with the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals. Your appeal will be reviewed by the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals. Your appeal will be denied if the facts and circumstances of your case do not support your claim.

Response:

→ You claim that the denial of pornographic magazines by the DOC violated MAAT religious principles, various acts, and your constitutional amendment rights. You request to be able to receive pornographic magazines, as well as magazines that depict nudity.

→ A review of the record found that DOC policy DC-ADM 803 prohibits nudity and pornography; therefore, such magazines will not be permitted. You fail to provide evidence to substantiate your claims. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Frivolous: ←

A grievance is frivolous when the allegations or the relief sought lack any arguable basis in law, fact and/or policy. This applies to your grievance.

Signature:	<i>Ken Moore Jr.</i>
Name:	Ken Moore Jr.
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	6/20/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

FTR

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

BT7263

PEW, ALFONSO

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

Page 1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	FACILITY:	DATE:
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	SIGNATURE OF INMATE:	
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:	

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

Attaching this grievance in accordance with DC-ADM 804. I am grievance against the Facility Manager, Deputy Warden, and the Head of Unit Manager as well as DC-ADM 804. I am grievance against the Facility Manager, Deputy Warden, and the Head of Unit Manager. This is a grievance challenging Facility 9.8.1 regarding the challenges that could be faced in returning money to inmates. The inmates that money takes from inmate sales. I am being denied and asked when the funds belong to inmates. I am requesting a refund. The Facility Manager is very helpful and the Facility Manager is the right to provide info.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

4-25-19 request (sup) executed on
4-29-19 addressed

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Conditions

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy CANARY File Copy PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	Alfonso Pino	BA-1027	5-10-19	800323 5-9-19

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on
and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.


I AM APPEALING THE GRIEVANCE REJECTION.

There is a difference between grievance #796892 and 800323. In grievance # 796892 I grieved for a official PA DCC answer why NC-17, unrated, R-rated X rated and other ratings are not allowed by PA DCC and to allow them now.

In grievance #800323 I'm challenging Draft Blurred Policy #18.1 page 12 Section 175 by requesting the exception from Sept 2019 to NO unblurred unrated movie. My request this was denied without a reason because that is a different issue than # 796892.

The grievance appeal of rejection should not be reviewed by Draft Blurred because he is involved in grievance.

INMATE SIGNATURE:



SCI ~~Final (PDRG)~~
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
BT0926	ALONSO KELLY	HOUSING UNIT 6-10	6-10-19	800523

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.


Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

ORIGINAL GRIEVANCE # 1 WITH 1 attachment
 Grievance Rejection # 2.
 Appeal to Supt. # 3.
 Supt. Answer # 4.

Appeal Issues

- ① The Supt. German has stated in facility Mondays Appeal Response Sec #4 "You have already been informed that your movies request will not be considered" so I AM appealing to John E. Wetzel Office Supt. to consider, Pardon me, explanation why Shannon Tweed Address B: Movie can not even be given consideration.
- ② I went John E. Wetzel Office Supt. on 6/14/19 to file an appeal (why Un-Rated Movies cannot be purchased with the inmate funds from T-Card).

INMATE SIGNATURE: 

(Exhibit 420)



Final Appeal Decision Dismissal

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

06/27/2019 11:56

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Scheduled:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	800323		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

This grievance was properly filed and the facility has provided the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

- The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior Grievance # 796892.

Response: This office concurs with the Grievance Officer and Facility Manager.

Signature:

Name:

D. Varner

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

6/27/2019

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 800323

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

RECEIVED

DC-804
Part 1

APR 12 2019

at Rockview
Superintendent's officeCOMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

796889

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR NIKKI Paul	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 4/12/19
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) Alfonso Lew BC-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Alfonso Lew BC-7263	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: None	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA-1027	

INSTRUCTIONS: Adult entertainment access on cable, Tablet or by USB chips to ECDNTV
 1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted. **BE PERMITTED FOR LIFEERS ONLY.**

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). **State all relief that you are seeking**

4-12-19 I AM GRIEVING PA. DOC. JOHN WETCE, Shirley Smeal, Tabs Bickel, CCTV OWNERS and SUCCESSORS TO CCTV CONTRACT WITH PA. DOC. AND GLOBAL TECH LINK TABLET OWNERS. I AM GRIEVING THE CONTRACTED CABLE PROVIDER PRESENT AND FUTURE, TABLET PROVIDER PRESENT AND FUTURE AND PA. DOC. I AM CHALLENGING THE BEN OF ADULT ENTERTAINMENT AVAILABILITY ON CABLE SUBSCRIBER AND TABLET PURCHASER. I REQUEST THE PA. DOC. AND CONTRACTORS

1. Allow adult entertainment stations.
2. Allow personnel Tablet access to adult entertainment.
3. DOC permit USB chips for ECDNTV.

AS A LIFE SENTENCED TO DIE IN PRISON I AM DESERIOUSLY affected

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

I REQUESTED A OFFICIAL REASON BY THE PA. DOC. WHY CONTRACTORS OR PA. DOC. WONT ALLOW ADULT ENTERTAINMENT ACCESS.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.



Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Cable

4/12/19

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy



SCI-ROCKVIEW

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT1263A	RODRIGUEZ, RUBEN	1027	4-30-19	796889

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

① I am demanding for Corrections to let the business men to be allowed to appeal to Superior Court. I believe the PA DOC level John W. Kelly, Shirley Emeny, Webb Bickel (with CTV INC. Emeny and their contract Successors) along with Globe (TEL Link Table) providers who are blocking me as a (service) inmate who is sentenced to PA DOC prison apart from receiving "Adult Inmate Treatment".

② My personal in cell SENT TV has USB capability the PA DOC can allow me to play my personal USB Adult Entertainment.

③ PA DOC and Globe (TEL Link) could allow personal access to my Adult Entertainment on my personal table in cell.

④ PA DOC and CCTV Inc or their Successors in contract can't permit Adult Entertainment stations in cable on my cell California Penitentiary

INMATE SIGNATURE:

(Exhibit # 23)
INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	Alphonse Rockwood	5-31-19		796889

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 5-22-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance #1
Grievance Appeal #2 Grievance Appeal #3

Dear Admin Business Manager Rockwood
I am writing this appeal to challenge the denial of Lines having access to Adult Entertainment Movies either through Cable TV, GTL Tablet Service or USBC-TV or Edin-TV

The DOC can provide this service to lines by any one of the three ways I appeal this because to be made at the PA. DOC executive level with full explanation why there is a ban on Adult Entertainment.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alphonse Rockwood



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

06/27/2019 11:59

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SGE/FILED:	Rockview	Current SGE:	Rockview
Grievance #:	796889		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

This file contains the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response to your grievance. This response will include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) regarding your grievance, and your appeal if one is sought.

Response:

You say that you are challenging the ban of adult entertainment availability to cable subscribers and tablet purchasers. You request to be allowed adult entertainment stations. You request to be able to access adult entertainment on your tablet. You request that the DOC permit USB chips for Eden TV.

A review of the record found that the Grievance Officer provided you with a detailed response to your grievance. Pornography and nudity are not permitted within the DOC. What you are permitted to view on your television and/or your tablet are reviewed and approved by Central Office. USB ports are not permitted to be owned by inmates. Your appeal to this office constitutes a group grievance/appeal which is not permitted in accordance with DOC policy DC-ADM 804. Your grievance and all requested relief are denied.

Signature:

✓ ✓
Lori Moore, Jr.

Name:

Moore, Jr.

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

7/1/2019

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

↑↑↑

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 796889

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

SCI-ROCKVIEWINMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263A.Rw		BA 102-1	4/23/19	196892

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 4/23/19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

1. I AM APPEALING W. K. GRAY CAM 1 grievance ANSWER. I AM CHALLENGING THAT PORT OF 7.8.1 Section 1 *5 35 well as the memo began in 2005 does not explain what discontinue premium cable stations has to do with inmate LEWTF being spent on movies that are other than G, PG, PG-13 releases.
2. I appeal for John Weller, Shirley Neal Tabb BICKELL, Mark Germany, MC McLean, Hauser to allow other ratings than G, PG, PG-13 through out the PA. DDC
3. I offer alternative standards B Movies such as actress SHANNON Tweed films can be purchased by LEWTF still can rated movies too as far as X rated and R rating the PA DDC can allow the tablet G to allow lifers to purchase them only at lifers expense on personal Tablets.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Jeffrey Pennsylvania

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BC-2263	Alton P. Beckley	5-31-10	5-31-10	790892

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 5-22-10 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance #1
 Grievance Answer #2
 Appeal to Sept. #3
 Sub. Answer #4

1. I appeal (I challenge) John Weeks, Shirley Sneed, Tab Bickell, Mc Geary, Des Mc Mahon, Dcp. Hause, Barbara Holcomb, Mark Givens, David Galloway, TGF, to show movies other than G, PG, PG, 13 to Lifers without a alternative way for Lifers to view movies other than G, PG, PG, B.

2. The grievance issue was Policy 7.8.1 but never says how Policy 7.8.1. is for a real security screen (therefore I challenge that there is no PA. 100% security screen for only showing G, PG, PG 13 movies because the PA. 100% has not stated so not shown so I have not been given a real person for the PA. 100% been on other ratings being shown on I.G. only movies.

INMATE SIGNATURE:

Alton P. Beckley

Exhibit H-27
187

Final Appeal Decision

07/03/2019 12:40

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BK

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Submitted:	Review	Current Status:	Final Review
Grievance #:	796892		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

In accordance with the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals' policy, the initial response will be upheld. This response will include a detailed institutional summary report to the Grievance Officer, including the specific issues raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief requested.

Response:

You claim that as a lifer, it is vital to your communication, writing, reading, education, religion, rehabilitation, mental stability, and heterosexual gender identity to be able to view movies other than G, PG13, and PG rated. You want an official answer as to why NC-17, unrated, R rated, X rated, and other ratings are not allowed. You request movies other than G, PG, and PG13.

A review of the record found that the Grievance Officer provided you with a detailed explanation to your grievance. Since 2005, such movies as you request have been banned from the facilities. You fail to provide evidence as to how your communication, writing, reading, education, religion, rehabilitation, mental stability, and heterosexual gender identity would benefit from having pornography available. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:

Handwritten

Lori Verner

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

07/03/2019

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 796892

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

FOR OFFICIAL USE

795589

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR	FACILITY:	DATE:
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)	SIGNATURE OF INMATE:	
WORK ASSIGNMENT:	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:	

INSTRUCTIONS

HOUSING ASSIGNMENT:

INSTRUCTIONS

INSTRUCTIONS: *Get a copy of the grievance form with me and my supervisor. Fill out the form and attach it to this page. Mail or fax to the Warden's Office.*

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

greeting PA. DPO John Sowietek, Executive Staff and
Smart Communications reported violation
of privacy by opening mail being processed open,
and giving to wrong people. On Friday April 20
2018, at that time I was given by Officer (no ID)
Slips one for - \$0 to Heinz Lefinse and two was for
\$0.60 - 1.15, they deducted 4/21/18 and 4/3/18 I ask was
kept at my mail and officer said yeah. Later about 45 min
after that a inmate came to my cell from # 1016 and
gave me my mail that was given to him. See attached
1 photo. This problem happened at least 5 times
locked up at the PA cell since Smart Communications Inc
to support my claim I received 5 pieces photo copies
of different inmates mail just a week ago I gave him
this DC ADM 802 Policy of photo copies never lets out of
closed envelopes is troubling me I don't know what could
be stolen by unknown inmates and Staff opening open
envelopes that are not sealed and open envelopes. I hope
you can help to be wise closed envelopes and arrested at
for example before using drug detecting technology for
second time.

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

I has reportedly ask P.A. 606 to use Smart
Technology as a preventive by using white light
ON mall to detect Sabotin and K-2 which spey
easy security need white, allowing me original
mall sent to prevent.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

John

4/18/19

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy CANARY File Copy PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System

Attachment 1-A

SCI-ROCKVIEW

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
B71863	Alonzo K. BA	1027	5/8/19	195585

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues:

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

- ① I my self Searched because my witness in 1016 the grievance according like did not do this so the investigation of grievance was not done. My witness is Roland Williams N#(2781) In going to Searched this claim to SOTGA because I have a T/Fiduciary from Roland Williams since Searched did not investigate.
- ② The grievance was assigned to Mr. Bivine because the CSA did the assign. This is inappropriate.
- ③ The CSA says it is possible that an inmate can occur and mail be delivered to the wrong cell on occasion. I presented a witness but this witness was not interviewed.
- ④ I appeal the CSA saying on the only grievance on this issue because that do not have Small Committee Inc has volume of grievances on the mail.
- ⑤ The issue of photocopy open mail in view because there is no envelope was not even addressed
- ⑥ The photocopy mail is read there is nothing (a block the photocopies from being seen and read).

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alonzo Ba

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BC7263	Alfonso P. Rockis	5-31-19	5-31-19	795586

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Pink Copy Grievance with #1 Evidence
Attached See Marked #1
Grievance Number #3
Appeal to Super #3
Sup. Answer #4

- ① I am manager of Local 5000 we belong to Small Communications Inc. Nikki Paul, Mark Scarsone I was denied DC ADM 804 at PA Code Title 37 § 893.8 inmate complaints due process not in full was denied Roland Williams NH 2981 making the grievance procedure unfair and a sham.
- ② I am enclosing Roland Williams Address of December 16 2018 to SUCCA #5
- ③ the Capt. says "the possibility of mailing here has been ruled to be illegal" at Nikki Paul says "it is possible that an item can't be mailed" I demand for every letter to be sent to the outside. It should be up held example.
- ④ I challenge Small Communications Inc. electronically printing mail and offsite processing except with INMATE SIGNATURE.
NO protected envelopes which causes mail to be read and misdelivered without envelopes.



Final Appeal Decision
Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

07/11/2019 04:37

B4

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Facility:	Rockview	Current Facility:	Rockview
Grievance #:	795585		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

This is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response (uphold the inmate, or Uphold/Impair/Deny inmate). This response will include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that on 4/5/2019, another inmate brought a letter to you that had been delivered to him instead of you. You allege that this is a problem that has been happening all over BA, SCI Rockview, and the DOC since Smart Communications was contracted with. You say that you have also received other inmate's mail at times. You request that you and your witness receive personal interviews by the Security Office. You request that your grievance is upheld because your mail was given to the wrong inmate. You request that DOC policy DC-ADM 803 is changed.

A review of the record found that Smart Communications scans inmate mail to the facilities, who in turn print mail out and distribute it to inmates. Smart Communications has nothing to do with the actual distribution of inmate mail. While it is feasible that there could be a mail-deliver error, there is no evidence that this happens "all over BA and Rockview and the PA DOC" as you allege. There is also no evidence that staff read inmate mail. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore fm</i>
Name:	Rockview
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	07/11/2019

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

↑↑↑

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 795585

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number B-1263	NAME Alonso Ro	HOUSING UNIT BA-027	DATE 7/28/19	GRIEVANCE # 818951
-------------------------	-------------------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------


I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I'm appealing Major Holden and
Answered by Captain McKeown did.

① My Appeal issue is laundry
SOT records show laundry
Unit Manager B.B. in E.C. block
Received and received statement
from laundry supervisor
this contradicts laundry manager
Statement because of issues in
June laundry.

INMATE SIGNATURE: 

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
876328	Alvin R. P.	SPK	8/22/19	816439

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues:

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Co-defendant Pink Coal and I attacked
Exhibit A. Co-defendant was exhibits
Co-defendant of Exhibit A and was
Exhibit B.

Appeal (Leave) Appeal (Leave)
The named police to the S.I.C.A. agents
McMahon, Supt. Gorman, Supt. Leeman
& Supt. Budge advised per S. the D.P.
MCNEIL QUESON to say the practice of
packing clothes lines started well over 4
years ago when I appealed and disputed
the with my evidence see EXHIBIT C
highlighted the Supt. Gorman does not
appear in his minute to Appeal not
dispute that the RS RC will be issued
already received stamp from in date of
S. P. C. V. I. S. T. J. 2000 see Supt.
Budge G. G. H. D. this is all evidence
See D.P. AM 104 Section 2 of D.P. V. I. S. T.
Repeal points raised by the court are all
addressed. @ the close of this trial

INMATE SIGNATURE:

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

mate Grievance System Procedures Manual
eals I request you to
address this notice.

Attachment 2-E

Exhibit #34
137
Final Appeal Decision

09/03/2019 12:52

 Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SCH Filed:	Rockview	Current SCH:	Rockview
Grievance #:	810439		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision:Uphold Response

This is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals to uphold the initial response. Uphold the Inmate's or Uphold the Inmate's or Deny the Inmate's. This response will include a brief letter, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised during the grievance, and what is agreed and what is denied.

Response:

You state that the laundry line in your cell broke and staff will not replace it. You request to have your grievance upheld, or to have another laundry line ordered.

A review of the record found that the Inmate Handbook states that "Unless approved, nothing is to be affixed to the bars, vents, windows, beds/bunks, walls, or cell doors". Clotheslines are not permitted; therefore, it was recommended that you utilize the laundry services provided. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>Leslie Moore fm</i>
Name:	D. Verne
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	09/03/2019

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

177
DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #:810439

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
8187082	Alfonso Perez	Bookview	8/27/19	802993

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues:

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Revised Notice attached #1

~~Reindeer tracks attacked #2~~

INMATE SIGNATURE:

DC-ADM 804. Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

DC-ADM 004, *initials*

Section 2
Issued: 1/26/2016

Issued: 1/26/2016

Attachment 2-E

**INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE**

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
BT 7263	Alfonso Ken	Rockview	6/26/19	802493

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Print Copy Carever v Cottell attached Exhibit A, Appeal Exhibit C.
Enclosure Annex Exhibit B, Appeal Exhibit C.
Appellants Exhibit D, Appeal Exhibit C see Wm Clark,
① Named parties to this appeal to be seen in see Wm Clark,
Carrie Brungardt, Negro 16160, Des. MC Mahan
Phone Office Staff and Negro 16160, Des. MC Mahan
② I was upheld in my phone list and visiting list.
delay to process no phone list and visiting list.
③ I am appealing to best SCER A John W. Clark have
Supt German put in writing Memo that SCER Unit
Terms and Visiting from Desk 1 and Three from Desk 2 shall
process terms in 1 week because my grievance issue
should be applied to all inmates here at SCER so it will
not happen again to others as me. There should be a Memo
of policy at Duke. The Supt German did not address issue
number ④ of my appeal in his response so I am providing
Supt with Exhibit E for Cottell visiting DESK 1 and
regarding a demand to have Cottell Woods fix
and address issue ④ of my appeal to Supt German.
⑤ I request Supt John W. Clark to have Cottell Woods fix
Carrie Brungardt and Negro 16160, Des. MC Mahan
phone list and visiting list.
INMATE SIGNATURE:

INMATE SIGNATURE

SCI

**INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE**

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
BF-9263	Alfonso Pw	BA-1027	6/11/19	802993

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

① This is my first the grievance process is corrupt and a sham on 5-30-19 I and Conselite Dixon was called into Ms. Clark Office from 1:35 pm to 1:37 pm B-A Unit Tiers 1 Conselite and Conselite Dixon can verify this fact. W/M Clark had this grievance #802993 and she said Meysse Heather Haldeman wanted me to sign off Meysse Heather Haldeman was doing this because the Deputy because nobody was away for the week. It was neither case of Haldeman and W/M Clark covering up.

② The first part of this is me being invited to after the saluted incident with Ms. Clark she has to do this with inmate Geraldon BA obstructing his visitation list with another phone list. ③ The other list was not addressed by Conselite and because when Conselite signed it 5-1-19 there was no reason W/M Clark should have had it but she did so W/M is not responsible for placing Geraldon BA and Geraldon.

④ Now because of this grievance in retaliation Alfonso Bennett (who was been told he is being denied on the visiting list this is an promise to harass me they say he was confined. I'm appealing to you on the grounds its another person they have Alfonso F. Bennett Jr. that is a different person and Alfonso F. Bennett Jr. was not been told so I did not put Alfonso F. Bennett Jr. on my list. I want this fixed

INMATE SIGNATURE:

Alfonso Pw

born 10/17/70 on my visiting list and I want to be upheld inmate on the delay of visiting list and

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016
Effective: 2/16/2016

holding the items that were given to Conselite Bergander.

Attachment 2-A

(Exhibit #38)

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

6. Work Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

2. Date:

5-17-19

4. Counselor's Name

Bunnagee

5. Unit Manager's Name

CISL

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1027

my Counselor Bunnagee says
 that on 5-1-19 my new phone
 add on list was signed and sent
 in to you. It has been 17
 days waiting. I never received
 a copy of my authorized phone add
 on list. I would like confirmation
 of my 5-1-19 phone add on list.

I haven't received anything from you in
 either April or so far in May.

You will need to resubmit the
 form to your counselor.


To DC-14 CAR only To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS

Staff Member Name

Print

Sign

Date





Final Appeal Decision ←

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals ←
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

→ 10/01/2019 12:50 ←

BA127

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SGI Filed:	Rockview	Current SGI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	802993		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

This is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response of holding the inmate or holding onto the inmate's property. This response will include a list of actions being implemented in a timely manner to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and will appeal if necessary.

Response:

You claim that you had submitted add-ons for your phone list and visiting list, and Counselor Baumgardner gave the forms to Unit Manager Clark. You allege that Unit Manager Clark, Counselor Baumgardner, and Major Haldeman are retaliating against you by holding onto your add-on forms. You request for your grievance to be upheld, and for your forms to be processed in a timely manner.

A review of the record found that the Facility Manager's Remanded Appeal Response provides you with a detailed response to the investigation into your claims. There is no evidence that your forms were not processed in a timely manner. There is no evidence of retaliation or that staff were unnecessarily holding onto your visitation and telephone add-on request forms. A timeframe does not exist in which such forms are to be processed in DOC ← policy. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	Leni M. Moore, Jr.
NAME:	Leni M. Moore, Jr.
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	10/01/2019

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

↑↑

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 802993

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR OFFICIAL USE

850311

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR KENNETH BRUNER	FACILITY: CAMPUS	DATE: 2/16/2016
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) KELVIN COOK B#7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: CAMPUS	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: None	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA-1025	

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

IS MY AND GRIEVE FILED THIS MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2016. I AM TELLING THIS GRIEVANCE IN GOOD FAITH. I AM INVOKING THE NON RETALIATION CLAUSE IN THE DC-ADM 804 NOT TO BE RETALIATED AGAINST ME FOR FILING THIS GRIEVANCE ON B UNIT. I AM GRIEVING UIM CLK, MR. HALDEMAN, DEPUTY SUPER, 2-10 SHIFT OFFICER, ST. 2 T AND CPT. ON 1/25/2016 A CA MEMO WAS PUT UP THAT LEFT THE 2:30 PM KIOSK CUT OFF AND CLOSE IT TO 3:00 PM. THE ISSUE OF THIS GRIEVANCE IS DISCONTINUATION THE BA SNU ONLY HAS 2 KIOSK. THE CA, CB HAS 6 TOTAL KIOSK ALSO. A AND B BLOCK HAVE MCC KIOSK. THIS IS BEING MISSTATED BY THIS AND IT'S A TREATMENT UNIT. I ASK FOR A PINK. THE TIME WAS 2:30 PM. I TALKED TO UIM CLK, MR. HALDEMAN, 200 HOUSE, ST. 2. CPT AND OFFICER 2-10 NOT ONLY ANSWERED ME BUT

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

I ASKED ST. 2 THE MEMO BE RESCINDED OR INCREASE TO 6 KIOSK TOTAL.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Conditions

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263 A	Offense Pen	BA 1025	2/18/20	850311


I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 2/18/20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I AM APPEALING THE GRIEVANCE REJECTION ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:

① The Central Office SOTGA Office has already determined I CAN GRIEVE A Memo that has NOT yet went into effect refer to #795184 which was a E-cigarette MEMO.

INMATE SIGNATURE: 

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7063	Alfonso Paul Rockview	3/11/20	3/19/20	850311

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 3/11/20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Attached # 1 IS GRIEVANCE.
 Attached # 2 IS CONTINUED GRIEVANCE.
 Attached # 3 IS GRIEVANCE REJECTION FORM.
 Attached # 4 IS GRIEVANCE APPEAL 6 Sept.
 Attached # 5 IS Sept Appeal ANSWER.

My Appeal Issues are:

1. This comes down to who (he) I can appeal a Memo or a Policy that has not started yet. First in my 28 years in PA DOC since 1992 I have in my grievance files records grievances challenging Policy that has not started yet. Each Policy of PA DOC has a Issue Date and a Effective Date the time between the two dates allows me to challenge a new Policy before it become effective so the grievance challenging Nicki Paul who is new is wrong.
2. The Superintendent is wrong too he contradicts himself this SOICA Office did a final review of #795184 on 7-24-19 b. Veneer the #795184 was about E Cigarettes I grieved the Memo before sales were effective so this proves you allow grievances before the Memo or policy actually begins.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Paul

the appeal stages I found there are even more then 6 kiosk on D block

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-E

my Evidence in record.

⑤ I request SOICA to put in Final Review Answer where DC-ADM 804 forbid me from filing this grievance?



→ Final Appeal Decision Dismissal ←

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

6B

→ 04/07/2020 10:00 ←

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SCI Filed:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	850311		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance was properly rejected at the facility level for the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

- Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy.
- Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.

Response:

This office concurs with the decisions of the Grievance Officer and Facility Manager. You were not personally affected because the memo concerned a matter that would not occur until March of 2020. Your grievance was dated February 12, 2020. Additionally, your grievance concerns separate issues.

Signature:

→ *Lori Womack* ←

Name:

→ D. Varner ←

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

04/07/20

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #:850311

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE #
ET-1263	Alfonso R.	DTU-B29	3/26/20	521500

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I am appealing W. Siever and N. Paul
GRIEVANCE ANSWER. Appeal Issues

① See my grievance nothing in my grievance says BLACK LIGHTS ON second floor (so bright this is part of the grievance procedure at SCI) is inadequate W. Siever made this up because the process is not fair.

② See my grievance I challenged the new 29W Lights Brightness and continuous use on BURN IT A SIDE.

③ The grievance answer admits using new LED bulbs switching from fluorescent bulbs however W. Siever does not give the Wallace between these two bulbs so the grievance answer is incomplete I request Sup. answer the Wallace that is NOT fluorescent bulb and we have an LED bulb on BA UNIT. ④ I still challenge LED Bulbs being left turned on entire night after night (units done at 9pm and definitely after 10pm when other units and prisons in PA. lights go out on) this violates equal protection clause.

⑤ I assert Fenventus definition under DC-ADM 804 it does not meet criteria of Fenventus by glossary.

⑥ I seek damages and decreased wattage and NOT lights at night.

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-1263	Alfonso Rov	Rockview	4-17-20	851500

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 4-15-20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Grievance Rink (Call #1, Initial Review Response #2, Facility Manager Appeal #3, Facility Manager Answer #4). Appeals (Issues)

1. Named Parties in this grievance are Maintenance and Electrician Department, CINWII Ward 5, Steven N. Paul, Sot. M. German, UMO Jack May, T. German, Dep. McNabes, Dep. Houser I am believing 2417 LED Bulbs constant Light illumination is a exaggerated false Security Issue NEED.

2. The Unit BA use to cut the same lights off from 10pm to 6:00AM shift (they 200 left on now because of Unit Officers choice and staff chose punishment/correction tactics and top off project (this is in Level 5 Housing C Unit). The lights are cut off each night 10pm to 6am and C Unit is near the gate of the PRISON so why would BA be a Security issue when it's not levels 2?

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Rov

3. I appeal grievances determined under DC-ADM 804. GRIEVANCES OF TERMS THE GRIEVANCES MUST BE BASED ON REASONABLE FACTORS OR NOT HEAVILY BASED

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

in law, fact or policy. This is not stated & only says because it's a security issue lights are on that's not a reason for feasible

Attachment 2-E



Final Appeal Decision

06/04/2020 02:18

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

Inmate Name: PEW, ALFONSO
 SCI Filed: Rockview
 Grievance #: 851500

DOC #: BT7263
 Current SCI: Rockview

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You state that the constant light illumination on BA unit is "excessive high beam increased fluorescent light" constitutes light torture, light interrogation, and light coercion which is causing you pain and suffering. You say that your constitutional amendment rights are being violated. You request that the lights are decreased, the wattage is decreased, that the lights are turned off from 10:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m., \$50,000.00 each, and to be moved to the RHU as a D code inmate.

A review of the record found that the Grievance Officer and Facility Manager provided you with detailed responses to your grievance. Constant lighting is necessary for the security of the unit. It was recommended that you submit a sick call request for any medical concerns you are having. You fail to provide any evidence to substantiate your claims. Your grievance and all requested relief are denied.

→ **Frivolous:** ←

There is no violation of policy, procedures, or law. The constant lighting is needed for security measures.

Signature: → *Levi W. Varner* ←

Name: → D. Varner ←

Title: Chief Grievance Officer

Date: 5/4/20

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #:851500

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

Exhibit #47
+881974SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT76801	R. B. 1238219	EO 881974		

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 6/23/21 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I AM APPEALING MEAN SCHEDULE
a pause and MEAN SCHEDULE IS SPOT
ALL THE GRIEVANCE VIOLATIONS OF MY
EXERCISE/HIGH RISK.

Appeal Issues

- ① The grievance issues extreme exercises
are supposed to start at 6:00 am
period but not activate 6:00 am.
- ② Mean Schedule (yes, cut off
grievance issues) activities include
Monday afternoon Weights from 1:30 pm
to 2:30 pm. Weights time for
shower but in not allowed and the
activities include Wednesday GYM
activities include Wednesday GYM
weights 8:00 am to 9:00 am the
weights time is available but in not
allowed to shower.
- ③ I am my Coke who go to weights
at gym but shower at all when
ON Monday 1:30 to 2:30 am weights but
8:00 am to 9:00 am NO showers are
allowed for my coke group on these
days after exercise.

INMATE SIGNATURE:

- ④ I appeal for this determination
- ⑤ There is NO justifiable reason to deny showers